2013 Explanatory Notes Rural Utilities Service

Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Purpose Statement.	29-1
Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Account:	
Appropriations Language	29-5
Lead Off Tabular Statement	
Project Statement	
Justifications	
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	
Classification by Objects	
Rural Electrification and Telecommunications Program Account:	
Appropriations Language	29-25
Lead Off Tabular Statement	29-26
Project Statement	29-28
Justifications	29-31
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	29-33
Classification by Objects	29-36
Distance Learning, Telemedicine, and Broadband Program Account:	
Appropriations Language	29-37
Lead Off Tabular Statement	29-38
Project Statement	29-40
Justifications	29-42
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	29-43
Classification by Objects	29-48
High Energy Cost Grants:	
Project Statement	29-49
Geographic Breakdown of Obligations	29-50
Classification by Objects	29-51
Status of Program	29-52
Summary of Budget and Performance:	
Statement of Department Goals and Objectives	29-56
Key Performance Outcomes and Measures	
Full Cost by Strategic Goal	29-66

RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE

Purpose Statement

Rural Utilities Service (RUS) programs enhance the quality of life and improve economic opportunity in rural communities by providing financing for the basic infrastructure of modern life. Electricity and telecommunications are essential services for individuals and businesses alike. Adequate water and wastewater treatment capacity are significant quality of life, environmental and economic development issues. Tighter environmental standards and the importance of accommodating business growth in a rapidly diversifying rural economy add significantly to program demand.

Authorization and Program Descriptions

The RUS delivers electric and telecommunications programs authorized by the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 901 et seq., (RE Act). RUS also delivers water and wastewater programs authorized by Section 306 of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act (CONACT), 7 U.S.C. 1926 et seq.

Electric Programs

The electric programs offer direct loans, guaranteed loans, and bond and note guarantees. The primary differences among the various electric loan programs are the statutory authority, qualifying criteria and the interest rate. The high energy cost grant program, which is appropriated under the water and waste program account, is also administered through the electric programs.

<u>Direct loans</u> under section 4 of the RE Act may be used to finance improvements to electric distribution, transmission, and generation systems, including demand side management, energy efficiency and conservation programs, and renewable energy systems to serve rural areas. The new direct loan authority under the 2008 Farm Bill is intended to displace reliance on section 306 loan guarantees through the Treasury Department's Federal Financing Bank (FFB). Interest rates on these new loans will be set equal to the current cost of money to the Treasury for loans of similar maturity, plus one-eighth of 1 percent. Regulations and administrative procedures are being put in place to implement the new program in 2013.

<u>Hardship rate direct loans</u> under section 305 of the RE Act at a fixed rate of 5 percent are available to finance electric system improvements for qualified borrowers that meet thresholds for retail rate disparity and for customer per capita and household incomes, or that have suffered a severe, unavoidable hardship, such as a natural disaster.

<u>Guaranteed loans</u> are provided under authority of section 306 of the RE Act and may be used for financing of electric distribution, transmission, improvements on generation systems and headquarters facilities. The FFB has been the primary source of guaranteed loans. FFB interest rates are fixed to the prevailing cost of money to the Treasury, plus an administrative fee of one-eighth of 1 percent. Funding for this program through FFB was requested in 2012 as the agency continues the transition to the new direct loan program for 2013. USDA guaranteed private loans issued through National Rural Utilities Cooperative Financing Corporation, Co Bank or other lenders carry an interest rate negotiated between the lender and the borrower.

<u>High Energy Cost Grants</u> under section 19 of the RE Act are available for energy generation, transmission, and distribution facilities, including energy efficiency and conservation programs and renewable energy systems serving rural communities with average home costs exceeding 275 percent of the national average. In addition, RUS may make grants to the Denali Commission to carry out eligible projects in small remote villages in Alaska.

Telecommunications Program

Infrastructure loans are made to furnish and improve telecommunications services, including a wide array of telecommunication related services, in rural areas.

<u>Hardship</u> (direct loans) loans bear interest at a fixed rate of 5 percent per year. These loans are intended only for borrowers with extremely high investment costs in terms of per subscriber service. These borrowers also have a

very low number of subscribers for each mile of telecommunications line constructed. This low subscriber "density" inherently increases the cost to serve the most sparsely populated rural areas. Because of the high cost of the investment needed, these borrowers cannot typically afford higher interest rate loans.

<u>Direct loans (or Treasury rate loans)</u> bear interest at the government's cost of money (or the current Treasury rate). Thus, the interest charged varies with the Treasury rate. As Treasury rates increase, so does the cost to the borrower for these loans.

<u>Guaranteed loans</u> are provided to borrowers of a non-government lender or from the FFB. The interest rate charged on FFB loans is the Treasury rate plus an administrative fee of one-eighth of 1 percent. The terms of these loans may vary significantly and allow borrowers more flexibility in meeting their financing needs.

All loans are based on extensive feasibility studies that determine a borrower's ability to repay the loan. Rural Development staffs monitor loan repayments that are secured through covenants in loan contracts, and mortgage documents with Rural Development. The government holds a first lien position on all of the assets of the borrower.

Broadband loans were first authorized in the Farm Security and Rural Investment Act of 2002 (2002 Farm Bill) which established a new loan and loan guarantee program, "Access to Broadband Telecommunications Services in Rural Areas", which was reauthorized and modified in the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008 (2008 Farm Bill). This program is designed to provide funding for the cost of constructing, improving, and acquiring facilities and equipment for broadband service in rural communities of 20,000 inhabitants or less. Direct loans are made at the cost of money to the Treasury for the life of the facilities financed. Loans may be made at four percent to rural communities where broadband service does not currently exist. Loan guarantees bear an interest rate set by the private lender consistent with the current applicable market rate for a loan of comparable maturity and are guaranteed for no more than 80 percent of the principal amount. The 2008 Farm Bill required changes to the loan program; an Interim Rule proposing changes to the program regulations was published in the *Federal Register* on March 14, 2011.

Distance learning and telemedicine (DLT) loans and grants are authorized by 7 U.S.C. 950aaa.

This program assists rural schools and learning centers gain access to improved educational resources, and assists rural hospitals and health care centers gain access to improved medical resources. Building on advanced telecommunications infrastructure, telemedicine projects are providing new and improved health care services and benefits to rural residents, many in medically underserved areas, by linking to urban medical centers for clinical interactive video consultation, distance training of rural health care providers, and access to medical expertise and library resources. Distance learning projects provide funding for Internet-based educational services in schools and libraries and promote confidence in, and understanding of, the world-wide-web and its benefits to students and young entrepreneurs. Loans, made at the Treasury rate of interest, and grants will encourage, improve, and make affordable the use of advanced telecommunications.

Water and Waste Disposal Loans and Grants

<u>Direct Water and Waste Disposal Loans</u> - Section 306 CONACT and 7 U.S.C. 1926. Direct loans may be made to develop water and wastewater systems, including solid waste disposal and storm drainage, in rural areas and in cities and towns with a population of 10,000 or less.

<u>Guaranteed Water and Waste Disposal Loans</u> - Section 306 CONACT and 7 U.S.C. 1926. Guaranteed loans may be made for the same purpose as direct loans. They are made and serviced by private lenders such as banks and savings and loan associations. The guarantee is 90 percent of the eligible loss incurred by the lender.

<u>Water and Waste Disposal Grants</u> - Section 306 CONACT and 7 U.S.C. 1926. Grants are available to reduce water and waste disposal costs to a reasonable level for users of the system. Grants may be made, in some instances, up to 75 percent of eligible project costs.

Water and Waste Facility Loans and Grants to alleviate health risks - Section 306C CONACT and 7 U.S.C. 1926. Section 306C loans and grants are available to low-income rural communities whose residents face significant health risks because they do not have access to water supply systems or waste disposal facilities. The grants are available to provide water or waste services to designated colonias in Arizona, California, New Mexico, and Texas. They are also available to native American tribes with the funds specifically intended to be used for eligible projects benefiting members of federally recognized native American tribes. Grants may be made in conjunction with loans and up to 100 percent of the eligible project cost.

<u>Special Evaluation Assistance for Rural Communities and Households Program (SEARCH) Grants</u> - <u>Section 306 CONACT and 7 U.S.C. 1926.</u> Predevelopment planning grants are available for feasibility studies, design assistance, and technical assistance to financially distressed communities in rural areas with populations of 2,500 or fewer inhabitants for water and waste disposal projects.

<u>Solid Waste Management Grants</u> - Section 310B CONACT and 7 U.S.C. 1932. Grants are made available to eligible organizations to address solid waste management issues and threats to water resources from solid waste in rural communities. Assistance may be provided to enhance operator skills in operations and maintenance, identify threats to water resources, reduce or eliminate pollution of water resources, improve planning and management of solid waste disposal facilities in rural areas, and reduce the solid waste stream.

<u>Technical Assistance and Training (TAT) Grants</u> - Section 306 CONACT and 7 U.S.C. 1926. Grants are available to private non-profit organizations to provide technical assistance and training to communities and utility systems on issues relating to delivery of water and waste disposal service. For water and waste disposal facilities, eligible non-profit organizations help identify and evaluate solutions, improve the operation and maintenance of existing facilities, prepare loan and grant applications.

Geographic dispersion of offices and employees

Rural Development programs are administered by the three programs representing Rural Development: Housing and Community Facilities, Utilities, Business and Cooperative Development. Rural Development **headquarters is located in Washington, D.C.** As of September 30, 2011, there were 5,568 permanent full-time employees, including 1,576 in the headquarters and 3,992 in the field offices.

OIG Reports

All open audits related to the Broadband Loan and Grant Programs, including OIG Audit No. 09601-8-TE and Audit No. 09601-4-TE, were closed in FY 2011. (Closed)

09099-2-SF Rural Utilities Service Rural or Native Alaskan Village Grants

The OIG review of the Rural Alaskan Village Grant (RAVG) program found that funds had been reallocated between projects rather than deobligated; documentation was insufficient in a number of cases to support reimbursements; and timesheets in certain cases were processed without proper signatures.

RUS revised its RAVG process, improved program controls, directed the State of Alaska and ANTHC to implement improved accounting systems, deobligated funds from the State of Alaska not associated with active construction projects, and reclaimed more than \$83,000 in unsupported reimbursements.

Current Status:

Official draft audit was issued 9/9/2010.

Rural Development responded 11/24/2010.

Management agreement reached on all findings 3/31/2011.

Final actions accepted/audit closed July 27, 2011.

09601-1-At Rural Utilities Service Controls over the Water and Waste Disposal Loans and Grants

The OIG review found that the water and waste loan and grant program's internal controls are adequately designed and operating. The audit did identify findings related to loan processing in two states, Tennessee and Alabama. The concerns revolved around two key areas:

- Documentation for certain files to support a determination that a health and sanitary issue exists.
- The use of income surveys for certain projects.

In all cases identified in the report, it was ultimately determined that the applications were processed within the existing regulations, but that additional policy guidance to field staff could improve consistency across the program. In response to the report, Rural Utilities Service issued updated guidance to all staff in the national office and field regarding the appropriate use of income surveys and proper documentation related to health and sanitary issues.

Current Status:

Official draft audit was issued 9/30/2010. Rural Development responded 11/22/2010. Management agreement reached with OIG 2/24/2011. Final actions accepted/audit closed 3/25/2011.

GAO Reports

GAO Report No. GAO-12-79, Nov. 2, 2011 - Federal Initiatives for the Nonfederal Sector Could Benefit from More Interagency Collaboration. Report surveyed availability of Federal programs that can support various "green building" efforts, including Rural Utilities Service electric loans and high energy cost grants. Rural Development concurs with report recommendations. (Closed).

RURALU	RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE							
Analysis of Change in Appropriation								
RURAL WATER AND WAS	TE DISPOSAL I	PROGRAM ACC	COUNT					
(On basis of loan	level, subsidy,	and grants)						
	Loan Level	Subsidy	Grants					
Appropriations Act, 2012	\$793,582,017	\$71,000,000	\$442,000,000					
Budget Estimate, 2013	1,000,000,000	80,700,000	415,000,000					
Change from 2012 Appropriations	206,417,983	9,700,000	-27,000,000					

Sumn	nary of Increase	es and Decreas	<u>es</u>		
	(Dollars in th	ousands)			
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2013
	Actual	Change	Change	Change	Estimated
Discretionary Appropriations:	Actual	Change	Change	Change	Estillated
Direct Water and Waste Disposal Loans	\$77,071	-\$154	-\$6,917	+\$10,700	\$80,700
Guaranteed loans	0	0	+1,000	-1,000	0
Water and Waste Disposal Grants	351,728	-33,366	-3,195	+9,085 (3)	324,252
Technical assistance grants for					
rural waste systems	19,500	-39	-461	-4,129 (4)	14,871
Circuit Rider-Technical Assistance grants for					
rural water systems	15,000	-30	+30	-2,608 (5)	12,393
WWD grants, Alaskan villages	24,500	-49	-1,176	-2,456 (6)	20,820
WWD grants Native American Tribes	16,000	-32	-768	-1,519 (6)	13,681
WWD grants Colonias	24,500	-49	-1,176	-2,456 (6)	20,820
WWD grants, Hawaiian homelands	5,000	-10	-240	-586 (6)	4,164
Water well system grants	993	-2	+2	-993 (7)	C
Water and wastewater revolving funds	497	-1	+1	-497 (8)	0
Solid waste management grants	3,441	-7	-34	+600 (9)	4,000
Emergency and imminent community					
water assistance grants	13,000	-1,523	+466	-11,943 (6)	0
High energy cost grants*	17,500	-5,524	-2,476	-9,500	0
Total Appropriation or Change	568,730	-40,786	-14,944	-17,300	495,700

RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE

The estimates include appropriation language for this item as follows (new language underscored):

Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Account (including transfer of balances)

- For the cost of direct loans[, loan guarantees,] and grants for the rural water, waste water, waste disposal, and solid waste management programs authorized by sections 306, 306A, 306C, 306D, 306E, and 310B and described in sections 306C(a)(2), 306D, 306E, and 381E(d)(2) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural
- Development Act, [\$513,000,000]\$495,700,000, to remain available until expended[, of which not to exceed \$497,000 shall be available for the rural utilities program described in section 306(a)(2)(B) of such Act, and of which not to exceed \$993,000 shall be available for the rural utilities program described in
- section 306E of such Act]: *Provided*, That [\$66,500,000]not to exceed 12 percent of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be for loans and grants including water and waste disposal systems grants authorized by 306C(a)(2)(B) and 306D of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act, Federally recognized Native American Tribes authorized by 306C(a)(1), and the Department of Hawaiian Home Lands (of the State of Hawaii): *Provided further*, That funding provided for section 306D of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act may be provided to a consortium formed pursuant to section 325 of Public Law 105-83: *Provided further*, That not more than 2 percent of the funding provided for training and technical assistance programs and not more than 2 percent of the funding provided for the section 306D of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act may be used by a consortium formed pursuant to section 325 of Public Law 105-83 for training and technical assistance programs: *Provided*
- 4 *further*, That not to exceed [\$19,000,000]3 percent of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be for technical assistance grants for rural water and waste systems pursuant to section 306(a)(14) of such Act,
- unless the Secretary makes a determination of extreme need, of which [\$5,750,000]<u>not more than 30</u> <u>percent</u> shall be made available for a grant to a qualified non-profit multi-state regional technical assistance organization, with experience in working with small communities on water and waste water problems, the principal purpose of such grant shall be to assist rural communities with populations of 3,300 or less, in improving the planning, financing, development, operation, and management of water and waste water
- 6,7 systems, and of which not [less]more than [\$800,000]4 percent shall be for a qualified national Native American organization to provide technical assistance for rural water systems for tribal communities:
- 8 *Provided further*, That not to exceed [\$15,000,000]<u>2.5 percent</u> of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be for contracting with qualified national organizations for a circuit rider program to provide
- technical assistance for rural water systems: *Provided further*, That not to exceed [\$3,400,000]\$4,000,000 shall be for solid waste management grants[:*Provided further*, That of the amount appropriated under this heading, the amount equal to the amount of Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Account funds allocated by the Secretary for Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the fiscal year 2011, shall be available through June 30, 2012, for communities designated by the Secretary of Agriculture as Rural Economic Area Partnership Zones for the rural utilities programs described in section 381E(d)(2) of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act: *Provided further*, That \$9,500,000 of the amount appropriated under this heading shall be transferred to, and merged with, the Rural Utilities Service, High Energy Cost Grants Account to provide grants authorized under section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a)]: *Provided further*, That any prior year balances for high cost energy grants authorized by section 19 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 918a) shall be transferred to and merged with Rural Utilities Service, High Energy Cost Grants Account: *Provided further*, That Sections 381E-H and 381N of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act are not applicable to the funds made available under this heading.

<u>The first change</u> removes the authority for water and waste guaranteed loans as no funding is proposed for the program.

<u>The second change</u> removes the language for the individually-owned water well systems grants and the grants for water and wastewater revolving funds. These programs can be funded under general heading.

The third change revises the set-aside for Native American, Colonias, Alaskan Villages, and Hawaiian Homelands from a dollar amount to a percentage of the overall budget authority. The reason to change the appropriation language to percentage is: The total amount appropriated under the rural water and waste disposal program account fluctuates from year to year however, the amount set-aside for special programs tends to remain the same. By tying the set-aside funds to not to exceed 12 percent of the amount appropriated under this heading, it will allow program funds to be more equitably distributed amongst the programs. An average was used to determine the percentages based upon historical dollar amounts appropriated for the set-aside. Over 98 percent of the agencies backlog of applications are for the regular water and waste disposal program, using percentages would allow for the funding to go where the greatest needs are. The set-asides are not governed by any criteria so percentages would at least help distribute the funds to the programs more fairly.

The fourth change revises the set-aside for technical assistance grants from a dollar amount to a percentage of the overall budget authority. The reason to change the appropriation language to percentage is: The total amount appropriated under the rural water and waste disposal program account fluctuates from year to year however, the amount set-aside for special programs tends to remain the same. By tying the set-aside funds to not to exceed 3 percent of the amount appropriated under this heading, it will allow program funds to be more equitably distributed amongst the programs. An average was used to determine the percentages based upon historical dollar amounts appropriated for the set-aside. Over 98 percent of the agencies backlog of applications are for the regular water and waste disposal program, using percentages would allow for the funding to go where the greatest needs are. The set-asides are not governed by any criteria so percentages would at least help distribute the funds to the programs more fairly.

The fifth change revises the set-aside within technical assistance grants for multi-State regional technical assistance organization from a dollar amount to a percentage of the overall budget authority. The reason to change the appropriation language to percentage is: The total amount appropriated under the rural water and waste disposal program account fluctuates from year to year however, the amount set-aside for special programs tends to remain the same. By tying the set-aside funds to not more than 30 percent of the amount appropriated for technical assistance grants, it will allow program funds to be more equitably distributed amongst the programs. An average was used to determine the percentages based upon historical dollar amounts appropriated for the set-aside. Over 98 percent of the agencies backlog of applications are for the regular water and waste disposal program, using percentages would allow for the funding to go where the greatest needs are. The set-asides are not governed by any criteria so percentages would at least help distribute the funds to the programs more fairly.

<u>The sixth change</u> revises the level of the set-aside within technical assistance grants for Native American organizations from a dollar amount to a limit on the percentage of the overall budget authority.

The seventh change revises the set-aside within technical assistance grants for Native American organizations from a dollar amount to a percentage of the overall budget authority. The reason to change the appropriation language to percentage is: The total amount appropriated under the rural water and waste disposal program account fluctuates from year to year however, the amount set-aside for special programs tends to remain the same. By tying the set-aside funds to not more than 4 percent of the amount appropriated for technical assistance grants, it will allow program funds to be more equitably distributed amongst the programs. An average was used to determine the percentages based upon historical dollar amounts appropriated for the set-aside. Over 98 percent of the agencies backlog of applications are for the regular water and waste disposal program, using percentages would allow for the funding to go where the greatest needs are. The set-asides are not governed by any criteria so percentages would at least help distribute the funds to the programs more fairly.

The eighth change revises the set-aside for circuit rider contracts from a dollar amount to a percentage of the overall budget authority. The reason to change the appropriation language to percentage is: The total amount appropriated under the rural water and waste disposal program account fluctuates from year to year however, the amount set-aside for special programs tends to remain the same. By tying the set-aside funds to not to exceed 2.5 percent of the amount appropriated under this heading, it will allow program funds to be more equitably distributed amongst the programs. An average was used to determine the percentages based upon historical dollar amounts appropriated for the set-aside. Over 98 percent of the agencies backlog of applications are for the regular water and waste disposal program, using percentages would allow for the funding to go where the greatest needs are. The set-asides are not governed by any criteria so percentages would at least help distribute the funds to the programs more fairly.

The ninth change reflects the removal of the set-aside for rural economic area partnership zones as the authorization for this program expired in 2012. These areas can be funded from the regular appropriation. Also, it removes the language for high energy cost grants for which no funding is proposed.

PROJECT STATEMENT

(On basis of supportable loan levels and appropriated subsidies and grants)
(In thousands of dollars)

	2010 A	ctual	2011 A	ctual	2012 Est	imated	Increase or	Decrease	2013 Est	imated
Item of Change	Program Level	Subsidy/BA								
Rural Water and Waste Disposal Programs:										
Direct loans	\$951,292	\$71,727	\$1,000,742	\$85,864	\$730,689	\$70,000	\$269,311 (1)	\$10,700	\$1,000,000	\$80,700
Direct loans- ARRA d/	1,274,013	96,061	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Direct loans- 2008 disasters em supp. c/	3,625	273	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guaranteed loans a/	10,721	0	31,923	0	62,893	1,000	-62,893 (2)	-1,000	0	0
Grants	549,071	549,071	378,698	378,698	315,167	315,167	9,085 (3)	9,085	324,252	324,252
Grants-ARRA <u>d</u> /	544,434	544,434	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grants-2008 disasters em. Supp. c/	6,781	6,781	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Technical assistance grants for										·
rural waste systems	19,500	19,500	19,554	19,554	19,000	19,000	-4,129 (4)	-4,129	14,871	14,871
Circuit rider - technical assistance										,
grants for rural water systems	14,336	14,336	14,714	14,714	15,000	15,000	-2,608 (5)	-2,608	12,393	12,393
Circuit rider - technical assistance										
grants for rural water systems-ARRA d/	10,180	10,180	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WWD grants, Alaskan villages	66,606	66,606	46,048	46,048	23,275	23,275	-2,456 (6)	-2,456	20,820	20,820
WWD grants, native American tribes	16,509	16,509	16,882	16,882	15,200	15,200	-1,519 (6)	-1,519	13,681	13,681
Water and waste disposal grants, colonias	25,069	25,069	32,080	32,080	23,275	23,275	-2,456 (6)	-2,456	20,820	20,820
WWD grants, Hawaiian homelands	0	0	1,513	1,513	4,750	4,750	-586 (6)	-586	4,164	4,164
Water well system grants	993	993	1,050	1,050	993	993	-993 (7)	-993	0	0
Water and wastewater revolving funds	497	497	496	496	497	497	-497 (8)	-497	0	0
Solid waste management grants	3,405	3,405	3,381	3,381	3,400	3,400	600 (9)	600	4,000	4,000
Emergency and imminent community										·
water assistance grants	2,648	2,648	1,384	1,384	11,943	11,943	-11,943 (6)	-11,943	0	0
High energy cost grants b/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Available or Estimate	3,499,680	1,428,091	1,548,466	601,664	1,226,082	503,500	188,918	-7,800	1,415,000	495,700
Transfer to high energy cost grants <u>b</u> /	17,500	17,500	11,976	11,976	9,500	9,500	-9,500	-9,500	0	0
Recovery of prior year obligations	-320,651	-109,603	-185,026	-66,695	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unobligated balance available, start of year	-2,041,309	-894,614	-222,716	-127,356	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unobligated balance expiring	64,279	0	43,077	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unobligated balance available, end of year	369,322	127,356	206,686	88,324	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unobligated balance rescinded c/	0	0	20,031	20,031	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rescission e/	0	0	2,700	1,058	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Appropriation	1,588,821	568,730	1,425,194	529,002	1,235,582	513,000	179,418	-17,300	1,415,000	495,700

NOTE: Amounts reflected above are budget projections of use of funds within the Water and Waste Disposal Program Account. The justification of increases and decreases is based on the projected use of funds. Individual columns may not add due to rounding.

PROJECT STATEMENT

(On basis of obligations under available funds)
(In thousands of dollars)

	2010 A	Actual	2011 A	ctual	2012 Est	timated	Increase or	Decrease	2013 Est	imated
Item of Change	Program Level	Subsidy/BA								
Rural Water and Waste Disposal Programs:										
Direct loans	. \$951,292	\$71,727	\$1,000,742	\$85,864	\$845,511	\$81,000	\$269,731	\$9,000	\$1,115,242	\$90,000
Direct loans- ARRA d/	1,274,013	96,061	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Direct loans - 2008 disasters em supp. c/	3,625	273	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guaranteed loans a/	. 10,721	0	31,923	0	31,447	500	15,723	0	47,170	500
Grants	549,071	549,071	378,698	378,698	373,959	373,959	-20,440	-20,440	353,519	353,519
Grants-ARRA <u>d</u> /	544,434	544,434	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grants-2008 disasters em. Supp. c/	6,781	6,781	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Technical assistance grants for										
rural waste systems	. 19,500	19,500	19,554	19,554	20,030	20,030	-3,453	-3,453	16,577	16,577
Circuit rider - technical assistance										
grants for rural water systems	14,336	14,336	14,714	14,714	14,101	14,101	-2,680	-2,680	11,421	11,421
Circuit rider - technical assistance										
grants for rural water systems-ARRA d/	10,180	10,180	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WWD grants, Alaskan villages	66,606	66,606	46,048	46,048	29,281	29,281	-4,457	-4,457	24,824	24,824
WWD grants, native American tribes	16,509	16,509	16,882	16,882	18,170	18,170	-4,417	-4,417	13,753	13,753
Water and waste disposal grants, colonias	25,069	25,069	32,080	32,080	26,190	26,190	-4,959	-4,959	21,231	21,231
WWD grants, Hawaiian homelands	. 0	0	1,513	1,513	8,759	8,759	-127	-127	8,632	8,632
Water well system grants	. 993	993	1,050	1,050	993	993	-993	-993	0	0
Water and wastewater revolving funds	. 497	497	496	496	497	497	-497	-497	0	0
Solid waste management grants	3,405	3,405	3,381	3,381	3,400	3,400	843	843	4,243	4,243
Emergency and imminent community										
water assistance grants	2,648	2,648	1,384	1,384	11,943	11,943	-11,943	-11,943	0	0
High energy cost grants b/	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Available or Estimate	3,499,680	1,428,091	1,548,466	601,664	1,384,282	588,824	232,330	-44,124	1,616,612	544,700
Transfer to high energy cost grants b/	17,500	17,500	11,976	11,976	9,500	9,500	-9,500	-9,500	0	0
Recovery of prior year obligations	-320,651	-109,603	-185,026	-66,695	-46,000	-46,000	46,000	46,000	0	0
Unobligated balance available, start of year	-2,041,309	-894,614	-222,716	-127,356	-193,172	-88,324	-8,440	39,324	-201,612	-49,000
Unobligated balance expiring	64,279	0	43,077	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unobligated balance available, end of year	. 369,322	127,356	206,686	88,324	80,972	49,000	-80,972	-49,000	0	0
Unobligated balance rescinded c/	. 0	0	20,031	20,031	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rescission e/	0	0	2,700	1,058	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Appropriation	1,588,821	568,730	1,425,194	529,002	1,235,582	513,000	179,418	-17,300	1,415,000	495,700

NOTE: Amounts reflected above are budget projections of use of funds within the Water and Waste Disposal Program Account. The justification of increases and decreases is based on the projected use of funds. Individual columns may not add due to rounding.

Pro	ject Statement Footnotes			
<u>a</u> /	was calculated for 2011. Therefore, corresponding budget a	uthority is not re	quired to sup	port the loan
<u>b</u> /	accordance with the Agriculture, Rural Development, Food	and Drug Admin	istration, and	Related
<u>c</u> /	Natural disaster unobligated available as of August 10, 2010	, rescinded in ac	cordance with	ı
	the Education Jobs and Medicaid Assistance Act, P.L. 111-2	226, signed on A	ugust 10, 2010).
<u>d</u> /	Provided by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act	(ARRA) of 2009	, P.L. 111-5, si	gned February
<u>e</u> /	and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011, P.L. 112	2-10.	_	

JUSTIFICATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

(1) An increase of \$269,311,000 in direct water and waste disposal loans (\$730,689,000 available in 2012).

This program provides loan funds for water and waste projects serving the most financially needy communities. Water and waste disposal loans result in reasonable user costs for rural residence, rural businesses, and other rural users. A program level of \$730,689,000 in the direct water and waste disposal loan program can support 535 loans that assist approximately 2,418,000 rural residents to obtain decent, safe, and sanitary water and waste water services. Additional funding of \$269,311,000 will enhance currently-funded activities and allow the program to fund 179 more loans and provide an additional 351,000 rural residents with decent, safe and sanitary water and waste service.

The increase in loan level to \$1 billion is expected to provide an estimated total of 714 loans assisting 2,769,000 rural residents to obtain safe and sanitary water and wastewater services. The increase to \$1 billion puts the program closer to its historical lending level in a year where there is not expected to be an offset for reduced funding from any supplemental source. In addition, with no funding provided for the guarantee program, the increase direct loan program level could pick-up some of those guaranteed loan borrowers.

Based on a projected carryover program level for 2013 of \$115,242,000 the direct water and waste disposal loan program would support approximately 86 loans that assist approximately 431,000 rural residents obtain decent, safe, and sanitary water.

(2) A decrease of \$62,893,000 in guaranteed water and waste disposal loans (\$62,893,000 available in 2012).

This program provides loan guarantees for the construction or improvement of water and waste disposal projects serving the financially needy communities in rural areas. The guarantee water and wastewater loan program performance has been such that the subsidy cost has risen from being negative to going positive, beginning in 2012. Meanwhile, the historical demand for this program has been minimal at best. Given the increase in cost and the low demand, coupled with an increase in the lending level for direct loans, the 2013 budget includes no funding for these loan guarantees.

However, based on a projected carryover program level for 2013 of \$47,170,000, the guaranteed water and waste disposal loan program would support 20 loans that assist approximately 137,900 rural residents obtain decent, safe, and sanitary water.

(3) An increase of \$9,085,000 in water and waste disposal grants (\$315,167,000 available in 2012).

This program provides grant funds in conjunction with loans for water and waste projects serving the most financially needy communities. Water and waste grants provide reasonable user costs for rural residences, rural businesses, and other rural users.

The increase to \$324 million is expected to provide an estimated total of 386 grants assisting 1,540,000 rural residents to obtain safe and sanitary water and wastewater services. This increase in the funding level puts the program closer to its historical lending level in a year where there is not expected to by an offset for reduced funding from any supplemental source.

Based on a projected carryover program level for 2013 of \$29,267,000, the water and waste disposal grants program would support approximately 39 grants that assist approximately 160,000 rural residents obtain decent, safe, and sanitary water.

(4) A decrease of \$4,129,000 in technical assistance grants for rural waste systems (\$19,000,000 available in 2012).

This program provides grant funds to private, non-profit organizations and public entities to provide technical assistance and/or training to small rural communities (under 10,000 in populations). The technical assistance provided by these grant funds is targeted towards the smaller rural communities (under 2,500 in population) and lower income rural communities with Median Household Income (MHI) under 80 percent of the State Non-

Metropolitan Median Household Income (SNMHI). The agency has large backlogs in the regular loan and grant program but not in this program. Therefore, hard choices had to be made to divert funds to where they are needed most. With that in mind, a small decrease in appropriate for 2013. The remaining funds will be sufficient to meet demand.

(5) A decrease of \$2,608,000 in circuit rider –technical assistance grants for rural water systems (\$15,000,000 available in 2012).

This program provides grant funds for on-site technical assistance to Rural Development eligible systems. The program estimates that more than \$2 million will be carried over from 2012. The remaining funds will be sufficient to meet demand.

(6) A decrease of \$18,960,000 in grant programs for Congressional set asides (\$78,443,000 available in 2012)

These programs provide grant funds for targeted groups to develop and construct water and waste systems in disadvantaged communities. Funds from the grants program level are set aside for these programs based on the funding priorities and goals established for the programs. Based on a project carryover program level for 2013 at \$68,440,000, funds may be diverted for the set-aside programs. Decreased funding will not materially affect the level of assistance to the communities. The remaining funds will be sufficient to meet demand.

(7) A decrease of \$993,000 in individually-owned water well system grants (\$993,000 available in 2012).

This program provides grants for private non-profit organizations to establish lending programs that provide low-cost loans to individuals living in eligible rural areas for the construction of water wells. In addition, these grants are to individuals rather than communities, so the cost/benefit of this funding is not as great as funding for the other loan and grant program within the USDA's environmental programs. With that in mind, no funding is provided for this program in 2013. The remaining funds will be sufficient to meet demand.

(8) A decrease of \$497,000 in grants for water and wastewater revolving funds (\$497,000 available in 2012).

This program provides grants to private non-profit organizations to establish a lending program for entities eligible under the water and waste disposal loans (direct and guaranteed). A decrease of \$497,000 would eliminate annual funding to revolving loan fund recipients. However, two prior year recipients have operating loan funds with funds available and payments being made and returned to the fund. Further, applications for small capital improvements and pre-planning activities are eligible for loans through the water and waste disposal loan and grant programs, and the newly implemented special evaluation assistance for rural communities grant program. Therefore, elimination of this program will not materially affect the potential grant recipients of this program. The remaining funds will be sufficient to meet demand.

(9) An increase of \$600,000 in solid waste management grants (\$3,400,000 available in 2012).

This program provides grants to both public and private non-profit organizations to help communities identify threats to water resources and reduce the solid waste stream. Communities receive assistance from eligible organizations to reduce or eliminate pollution of water resources, improve planning and management of solid waste disposal facilities in rural areas, and enhance operator skills in operations and maintenance. A program level of \$3,400,000 in the solid waste management grants program can support 38 technical assistance providers to help rural small communities manage their solid waste disposal and water resources. With the requested grant level of \$4,000,000 the program will be able to fund 40 grants and allow 41 technical assistance providers to assist rural small communities with managing their solid waste disposal needs. The small increase will allow USDA to maintain the historical assistance level for these grants.

Based on a projected carryover program level for 2013 of \$243,000, the solid waste management grants program would support 43 technical assistance providers to assist rural small communities with managing their solid waste disposal and water resources.

(10) An increase of \$10,700,000 in the loan subsidy for direct water and waste disposal loans (\$70,000,000 available in 2012).

The subsidy for the direct water and wastewater loan increases primarily because the 2013 increased the requested loan level from \$731 million to \$1 billion. The \$1 billion loan level using the 2013 subsidy rate required an increase of \$10.7 million over enacted.

(11) A decrease of \$1,000,000 in the loan subsidy for guaranteed water and waste disposal loans (\$1,000,000 available in 2012).

The elimination of funding for the loan program results in no subsidy budget authority needed for this program in 2013.

	GLOGRAFHI	C BREAKDOWN OF OF (Dollars in thousands)	LIGATIONS	
		(Donais in thousands)		
	Rural Water	and Waste Disposal Lo	an Program	
	<u>2010 Actual</u>	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Alabama	\$6,139	\$27,059		
Alaska		1,716		
Arizona	1,775	6,721		- -
Arkansas	11,996	47,899 29,113		
California	38,722 19,225	9,951		
Colorado Connecticut	4,819	7,867		
Delaware	20,413	7,127		
Florida	21,223	21,996		
Georgia	8,151	20,566		_
Hawaii	3,286	2,188		_
Idaho	27,283	8,962		
Illinois	30,853	32,516		
Indiana	53,100	26,103		
Iowa	29,479	14,970		
Kansas	15,939	12,263		
Kentucky	28,840	41,844		
Louisiana	14,417	21,285		
Maine	6,081	9,285		
Maryland	8,995	14,269		
Massachusetts	7,196	8,772		-
Michigan	41,975	55,035		
Minnesota	12,749	22,966		
Mississippi	16,867 30,174	31,950 28,363		
Missouri	9,175	7,537		
Montana Nebraska	2,228	11,500		
Nevada	1,305	8,330		-
New Hampshire	15,661	4,558		
New Jersey	9,408	11,355		
New Mexico	20,535	9,154		
New York	22,208	23,789		
North Carolina	79,183	36,671		-
North Dakota	6,056	2,750		
Ohio	24,082	25,705		
Oklahoma	17,173	33,334		
Oregon	15,321	8,018		
Pennsylvania	32,785	44,824		
Rhode Island	1,615 27,817	2,131		
South Carolina	14,303	32,424 20,632		
South Dakota Tennessee	19,412	34,678		
Texas	36,942	44,382		-
Utah	3,407	2,282		_
Vermont	3,847	8,945		
Virginia	39,490	42,990		_
Washington	37,251	17,481		
West Virginia	27,096	15,943		
Wisconsin	14,425	30,379		
Wyoming	300	872		
Puerto Rico	10,570	9,292		
Total Avail./Est.	\$951,292	\$1,000,742	\$845,511	1/ \$1,115,242

	GEOGRAPHIC I	BREAKDOWN OF OB	BLIGATIONS				
	(I	Dollars in thousands)					
	Rural Water and Wast	e Disposal Loan Prog	ram - Recovery Act				
	<u>2010 Actual</u>	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estim			
Alabama	\$2,703						
Arizona	5,116						
Arkansas	34,249						
California	105,052						
Colorado	7,838						
Connecticut	3,481						
Delaware	22,457						
Florida	26,918						
Georgia	13,736						
Idaho	15,160						
Illinois	22,669						
Indiana	17,092						
Iowa	14,633						
Kansas	20,572						
Kentucky	39,577						
Louisiana	9,081						
Maine	6,425						
Maryland	34,140						
Massachusetts	32,617						
Michigan	79,131						
Minnesota	27,452						
	9,355						
Mississippi Missouri	26,049						
Montana	7,903						
	9,423		-				
Nebraska	7,732		-				
Nevada	8,882		-				
New Hampshire	13,699						
New Jersey	1,230						
New Mexico							
New York	35,049						
North Carolina	86,598						
North Dakota	14,717						
Ohio	17,175						
Oklahoma	20,777						
Oregon	17,634						
Pennsylvania	139,896						
South Carolina	62,309						
South Dakota	10,775						
Tennessee	23,529						
Texas	48,305						
Utah	4,613						
Vermont	1,214						
Virginia	68,847						
Washington	46,029						
West Virginia	11,671						
Wisconsin	30,038						
Wyoming	1,072						
Puerto Rico	9,395						
Total Avail./Est.	\$1,274,013						

	RURA	L UTILITIES SERVIC	Œ	
	GEOGRAPHIC B	REAKDOWN OF OB	BLIGATIONS	
	(D	ollars in thousands)		
	Rural Water	and Waste Disposal	Loans	
		2008 Disasters		
	<u>2010 Actual</u>	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Maine	\$1,980			-
Oklahoma	375			-
West Virginia	1,270			-
Total Avail./Est.	\$3,625			-

		RURAL UTILITIES SER		
	GEOGRAP.	HIC BREAKDOWN OF		
		(Dollars in thousand	s)	
	Rural Water	and Waste Disposal Lo	oan Program - Guarar	nteed
	<u>2010 Actual</u>	<u>2011 Actual</u>	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Alabama	\$1,261			
Florida	200			
Idaho	1,285			
Iowa	5,300			
Kansas	2,200			
Montana		\$423		
New Mexico	84			
North Carolina		4,500		
Ohio		11,000		
Oklahoma	391			
Washington		16,000		
West Virginia				
Total Avail./Est.	\$10,721	\$31,923	\$31,447	<u>1</u> / \$47,170

	GLOOKAFI	HIC BREAKDOWN OF O (Dollars in thousands)	DLIONI	
		(Donars in thousands)		
	Rural	Water and Waste Disposa	al Grants	
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Alabama	\$4,140	\$13,346		
Alaska	70,122	49,733		
Arizona	18,149	13,816		
Arkansas	15,839	5,220		
California	19,629	17,357		
Colorado	4,172	3,901		
Connecticut	5,445	2,906		
Delaware	6,383 10,999	2,246 11,240		
Florida	11,616	15,030		
Georgia Hawaii	733	13,030		
Idaho	5,932	3,901		
Illinois	7,699	9,255		
Indiana	9,225	8,914		
Iowa	8,119	9,301		
Kansas	9,967	3,394		
Kentucky	12,204	13,729		
Louisiana	20,845	9,250		
Maine	6,003	5,459		
Maryland	14,740	10,594		
Massachusetts	4,235	2,745		
Michigan	14,678	11,239		
Minnesota	9,220	9,927		
Mississippi	15,064	18,439		
Missouri	18,700	9,317		
Montana	15,658	5,704		
Nebraska	5,278	5,280		
Nevada	1,848	3,007		
New Hampshire	3,628	3,161		
New Jersey	6,421	5,925		
New Mexico	30,664	18,630		
New York	11,146	16,836		
North Carolina	35,860 6,287	14,066 4,195		
North Dakota	21,233	11,015		
Ohio Oklahoma	31,038	30,237		
Oklahoma Oregon	5,242	2,024		
Pennsylvania	15,832	10,290		
Rhode Island	1,526	1,754		
South Carolina	29,654	12,353		
South Dakota	16,479	23,204		
Tennessee	10,974	12,860		
Texas	23,275	28,372		
Utah	5,685	2,546		
Vermont	6,296	3,677		
Virginia	26,817	10,763		
Washington	15,415	5,160		
West Virginia	26,463	5,619		
Wisconsin	10,923	6,569		
Wyoming		1,165		
Puerto Rico	3,591	3,305		
Total Avail./Est.	\$691,091	\$507,976	\$481,732 1/	\$441,325

	GEOGRAPHIC B	REAKDOWN OF O	BLIGATIONS						
	(D	ollars in thousands)							
Rural Water and Waste Disposal Grants - Recovery Act									
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estim					
Alabama	\$3,512								
Alaska	2,000								
Arizona	3,627								
Arkansas	48,311								
California	12,048								
Colorado	6,465								
Connecticut	2,740								
Delaware	3,000 11,389								
Florida	18,431								
Georgia	7,046								
Idaho Illinois	6,772								
Indiana	9,382								
Iowa	14,779								
Kansas	5,537								
Kentucky	17,847								
Louisiana	3,635								
Maine	11,521								
Maryland	9,148								
Massachusetts	42,508								
Michigan	20,654								
Minnesota	10,773								
Mississippi	8,997								
Missouri	12,988								
Montana	7,320								
Nebraska	3,696								
Nevada	3,127								
New Hampshire	11,042								
New Jersey	3,377								
New Mexico	2,701								
New York	18,287								
North Carolina	35,889								
North Dakota	2,156								
Ohio	16,012								
Oklahoma	7,354								
Oregon	5,388								
Pennsylvania	19,831								
South Dakota	22,887 4,692								
South Dakota Tennessee	11,375								
Texas	18,080								
Utah	4,421								
Vermont	3,463								
Virginia	11,157								
Washington	6,046								
West Virginia	16,197								
Wisconsin	12,758								
Wyoming	340								
Puerto Rico	3,730								
Total Avail./Est.	\$544,434								
Circuit Pid	er Technical Assistand	ee Grants for Rural W	ater Systems - Recov	erv Act					
Cheun Rid		C Grants 101 Kurdi W	ater Systems - Recov	ciy Act					
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estim					
Oklahoma	\$10,180								
Total Avail./Est.	\$10,180								

	GEOGRAPHIO	C BREAKDOWN OF O	BLIGATIONS	
		(Dollars in thousands)		
	Rural Wa	ter and Waste Disposa	ıl Grants	
		2008 Disasters		
	<u>2010 Actual</u>	<u>2011 Actual</u>	2012 Estimate	<u>2013 Estima</u>
Maine	\$2,739			
West Virginia	3,137			
Wisconsin	905			
Total Avail./Est.	\$6,781			
Ru	ral Water and Waste	Individually-Owned W	ater Well System Gr	ants
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estima
California	\$85	\$150		
Georgia	80	100		
Illinois		50		
Michigan	250			
Minnesota	50			
Missouri	300			
Oregon		300		
Pennsylvania	113			
Texas	115			
Virginia		300		
Wisconsin		150		
Total Avail./Est.	\$993	\$1,050	\$993	1/
	Rural Water an	d Wastewater Revolvir	ng Fund Grants	
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Arkansas	\$249	\$248		
Oklahoma	249	248		
Total Avail./Est.	\$498	\$496	\$497	1/

		IIC BREAKDOWN OI (Dollars in thousand		
		(Domais in thousand	uo,	
	Sol	id Waste Managemen	it Grants	
	2010 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Alaska	\$752	\$791		
Arizona	206	187		
California	230	209		
Colorado	109			
Florida		26		
Kansas	90	64		
Maine	271	245		
Massachusetts	346	308		
Michigan	87	89		
Mississippi	65			
Missouri	263	-		
Montana	57	88		
Nebraska		191		
New Hampshire	174	151		
New York	216	296		
North Carolina	104	96		
North Dakota		21		
Ohio	66	92		
Oklahoma	78			
Pennsylvania		285		
South Carolina		98		
Utah	93			
Vermont	158			
West Virginia	42	145		
Total Avail./Est.	\$3,405	\$3,381	\$3,400 1/	\$4,243
	Emergency and Im	minent Community W	ater Assistance Grant	
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Alabama	\$135			
Idaho		\$631		
Illinois	493			
Missouri	1,089	104		
Nebraska	264			
Oklahoma	77	149		
Tennessee	500	500		
West Virginia	91			
Total Avail./Est.	\$2,648	\$1,384	\$11,943 <u>1</u> /	
	Rural W	ater and Waste Dispo	osal Program	
		Hawaiian Homelan	ds	
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Hawaii	2010 ACTUAL	\$1,513	\$8,759	\$8,632
Total Avail./Est.		\$1,513	\$8,759	\$8,632

		Classification by C	<u>Dbjects</u>		
		(Dollars in thous:	ands)		
		2010	2011	2012	2013
		Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Oth	ner Objects:				
41	Grants, subsidies, and contributions	\$1,428,091	\$601,664	\$588,824	\$544,700
To	tal direct obligations	1,428,091	601,664	588,824	544,700

RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE

The estimates include appropriation language for this item as follows (new language underscored; deleted matter enclosed in brackets):

Rural Electrification and Telecommunications Loans Program Account (including transfer of funds)

- 1,2 The principal amount of direct [and guaranteed]rural electric loans [as authorized by sections 305 and
- 3 306]made under section 4 of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. [935 and 936]904) shall
- be [made as follows: 5 percent rural electrification loans, \$100,000,000; loans made pursuant to section 306 of that Act, rural electric, \$6,500,000,000; guaranteed underwriting loans pursuant to section 313A, \$424,286,000; 5 percent rural telecommunications loans, \$145,000,000; cost of money rural telecommunications loans, \$250,000,000; and for loans made pursuant to section 306 of that Act, rural telecommunications loans, \$295,000,000]\$6,100,000,000, and the principle amount of rural telecommunications loans made under section 305 of such Act (7 U.S.C. 935) shall be \$690,000,000;
- Frovided, That [up to \$2,000,000,000] not less than \$4,000,000,000 shall be used for the construction,
- 6 acquisition, or improvement of renewable energy plants or for construction, acquisition or improvement of
- fossil-fueled electric generating plants (whether new or existing) that utilize carbon sequestration systems:

 Provided further, That funding may be made available for fossil-fuel electric generating peaking units (new or existing) to the extent that the peaking unit operates in conjunction with an electric generating plant that produces electricity from solar, wind, or other intermittent sources of energy: Provided further, That not more than \$2,000,000,000 shall be available for environmental improvements to fossil-fuel electric generating plants that would reduce emission of air pollution including greenhouse gases.
- 8 [For the cost of guaranteed loans, including the cost of modifying loans, as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, as follows: \$594,000 for guaranteed underwriting loans as authorized by 313A of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 940c-1).]
- In addition, for administrative expenses necessary to carry out the direct and guaranteed loan programs, [\$36,382,000]\$34,467,000, which shall be [transferred to and merged with]paid to the appropriation for "Rural Development, Salaries and Expenses".

<u>The first change</u> removes the guaranteed language and modifies it to be rural electric loans.

The second change removes the reference to the old section of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936.

<u>The third change</u> modifies the authorizing language by referencing the correct section of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 904).

The fourth change eliminates the language for the individual electrification and telecommunication loan programs because the requested programs will be divided into two separate loan programs, one for electric Treasury plus program and one for telecommunications Treasury loan program; therefore, no appropriations are required for the programs as they currently exist.

The fifth change revises the set-aside limitation for a maximum amount to a minimum amount.

The sixth change adds language for making improvements related to renewable energy and greenhouse gasses.

<u>The seventh change</u> adds language to have a set-aside amount under the electric loans for fossil-fuel generating plants that reduce emission of air pollution.

<u>The eighth change</u> eliminates the language concerning positive subsidy for the guaranteed underwriting program for which no funding is proposed in 2013.

<u>The ninth change</u> adds language simplifying the transfer and consolidation of funds from the individually appropriated program accounts to the Rural Development, Salaries and Expense account.

<u>Analysis</u>	of C	hange in Appropriatio	<u>n_</u>		
RURAL ELECTRIFICATION	IAN	ID TELECOMMUNICA	ATIONS ACCOU	NΤ	
(On basis of loan leve	l, su	bsidy, and administrat	tive expenses)		
					Administrative
		Loan Level	Subsidy		Expenses
Appropriations Act, 2012		\$7,714,286,000	\$594,000		\$36,382,000
Budget Estimate, 2013	· • • • •	6,790,000,000	0		34,467,000
Change from 2012 Appropriations		-924,286,000	-594,000		-1,915,000

	RURAL UTILI	TIES SERVICE			
RURAL ELECTRIFICATIO	N AND TELECO	MMUNICATION	IS PROGRAM AC	CCOUNT	
Su	ımmary of Increa	ses and Decrease	<u>s</u>		
	(Dollars in t	housands)			
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2013
Item of Change	Actual	Change	Change	Change	Estimated
Electric Underwriting Loans	\$0	+699	-\$105	-\$594	(9) \$0
Total Appropriation or Change	0	+699	-105	-594	0

PROJECT STATEMENT

(On basis of supportable loan levels and appropriated subsidies and grants)

(In thousands of dollars)

			(in thous	inds of dollars	5)					
	2010 A	ctual	2011 A	ctual	2012 Es	timated	Increase of	or Decrease	2013 Est	imated
Item of Change	Program Level	Subsidy/BA	Program Level	Subsidy/BA	Program Level	Subsidy/BA	Program Level	Subsidy/BA	Program Level	Subsidy/BA
Electric Loans:										
Direct, 5% <u>a</u> /	\$100,000	\$0	\$39,610	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	-\$100,000 (1)	\$0	\$0	\$0
Direct, Treasury Rate	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Direct, Municipal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Direct, FFB b/	6,500,000	0	4,233,247	0	6,500,000	0	-6,500,000 (2)	0	0	0
Direct, FFB, loan modifications - 2005										
Hurricane Supplemental f/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Direct, FFB, loan modifications - 2008										
Disasters Supplemental g/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Electric Underwriting Loans c/	500,000	0	499,000	699	424,286	594	-424,286 (3)	-594 (8)	0	0
Electric - Treasury rate plus loans j/	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	6,100,000 (4)	0	6,100,000	0
Total Electric	7,100,000	0	4,771,857	699	7,024,286	594	-924,286	-594	6,100,000	0
Telecommunications Loans:										
Direct, 5% <u>d</u> /	145,000	0	31,835	0	145,000	0	-145,000 (5)	0	0	0
Direct, Treasury Rate e/	. 250,000	0	363,165	0	250,000	0	440,000 (6)	0	690,000	0
Direct, FFB f/	295,000	0	249,999	0	295,000	0	-295,000 (7)	0	0	0
Total Telecommunications	690,000	0	644,999	0	690,000	0	0	0	690,000	0
Total loans and subsidies	7,790,000	0	5,416,856	699	7,714,286	594	-924,286	-594	6,790,000	0
Total administrative expenses g/	. 0	39,959	0	38,297	0	36,382	0	-1,915 (9)	0	34,467
Unobligated balance available, start of year	. 0	8,015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unobligated balance expiring	. 0	0	2,372,144	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unobligated balance rescinded h/	. 0	-8,015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rescission i/	0	0	1,000	78	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Appropriated loans and subsidies	7,790,000	0	7,790,000	699	7,714,286	594	-924,286	-594	6,790,000	0
Total Appropriated administrative expenses	. 0	39,959	0	38,374	0	36,382	0	-1,915	0	34,467

NOTE: Individual columns may not add due to rounding. Staff-years are reflected in the Salaries and Expenses Project Statement.

		(On b	asis of obligatio	ns under avai	lable funds)					
			(In thousa	nds of dollars)					
	2010 A	-41	2011 A	-41	2012 Est		Y.,	or Decrease	2013 Estin	4
Item of Change					Program Level				Program Level	
Electric Loans:	Flogramitever	Subsidy/BA	FlogramLever	Subsidy/BA	FlogramLever	Subsidy/BA	Flogramitever	Subsidy/BA	Flogramitever	Subsidy/ Dz
Direct, 5% <u>a</u> /	\$100,000	\$0	\$39,610	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	-\$100,000	\$0	\$0	
Direct, Treasury Rate	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Direct, Municipal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Direct, FFB b/	6,500,000	0	4,233,247	0	6,500,000	0	-6,500,000	0	0	
Direct, FFB, loan modifications - 2005	3,000,000		,,,		5,2 5 5,5 5 5		0,000,000			
Hurricane Supplemental f/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Direct, FFB, loan modifications - 2008										
Disasters Supplemental g/	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Electric Underwriting Loans c/	500,000	0	499,000	699	424,286	594	-424,286	-594	0	
Electric - Treasury rate plus loans j/	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	6,100,000	0	6,100,000	
Total Electric	7,100,000	0	4,771,857	699	7,024,286	594	-924,286	-594	6,100,000	
Telecommunications Loans:										
Direct, 5% <u>d</u> /	145,000	0	31,835	0	145,000	0	-145,000	0	0	
Direct, Treasury Rate e/	250,000	0	363,165	0	250,000	0	440,000	0	690,000	
Direct, FFB f/	295,000	0	249,999	0	295,000	0	-295,000	0	0	
Total Telecommunications	690,000	0	644,999	0	690,000	0	0	0	690,000	
Total loans and subsidies	. 7,790,000	0	5,416,856	699	7,714,286	594	-924,286	-594	6,790,000	
Total administrative expenses g/	. 0	39,959	0	38,297	0	36,382	0	-1,915	0	34,40
Unobligated balance available, start of year	. 0	-8,015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unobligated balance expiring	. 0	0	2,372,144	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Unobligated balance rescinded h/	. 0	8,015	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Rescission i/	0	0	1,000	78	0	0	0	0	0	
Total Appropriated loans and subsidies	7,790,000	0	7,790,000	700	7,714,286	594	-924,286	-594	6,790,000	
Total Appropriated administrative expenses	0	39,959	0	38,374	0	36,382	0	-1,915	0	34,40

NOTE: Individual columns may not add due to rounding. Staff-years are reflected in the Salaries and Expenses Project Statement.

<u>a</u> /	Negative subsidy rates of 27.73% was calculated for 2010, 7.38% was calculated for 2011, and 14.40%
	was calculated for 2012. Therefore, corresponding budget authority is not required to support the program
	levels.
<u>b</u> /	Negative subsidy rates of 0.47% was calculated for 2010, 4.43% was calculated for 2011, and 4% was
	calculated for 2012. Therefore, corresponding budget authority is not required to support the program levels.
<u>c</u> /	Negative subsidy rates of 1.85% was calculated for 2010 and 6.32% was calculated for 2012. Therefore,
	corresponding budget authority is not required to support the program levels. However, the 2012 rate was
	calculated after the Consolidated and Continuing Appropriations Act 2012, P.L. 112-55 was signed, therefore a
	positive subsidy of \$594,000 is being presented for this negative subsidy program due to a positive placeholder
	subsidy rate being used to prepare the bill.
<u>d</u> /	Negative subsidy rates of 18.59% was calculated for 2010, 7.38% was calculated for 2011, and 14.40%
	was calculated for 2012. Therefore, corresponding budget authority is not required to support the program
	levels.
<u>e</u> /	Negative subsidy rates of 0.43% was calculated for 2010, 0.32% was calculated for 2011, 1.19%
	was calculated for 2012, and 1.14% was calcuated for 2013. Therefore, corresponding budget authority is
	not required to support the program levels.
<u>f</u> /	Negative subsidy rates of 0.65% was calculated for 2010, 4.43% was calculated for 2011, and 3.64% was
	calculated for 2012. Therefore, corresponding budget authority is not required to support the program levels.
<u>g</u> /	In 2010 funding of \$39,959,000, in 2011 funding of \$38,297,252, and in 2012 \$36,382,000 was
	appropriated and transferred to the Rural Development Salaries and Expenses account. In 2013, funding of
	\$34,467,000, is requested and will be paid to the Rural Development Salaries and Expenses account.
	will be paid to the Rafai Development balance and Expenses account.

JUSTIFICATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

(1) A decrease of \$100,000,000 in direct electric loans (\$100,000,000 available in 2012).

For 2013, Rural Development is requesting no funding for the direct hardship loan program. This program provides financing at a statutory rate of 5 percent for electric system improvements for qualified borrowers that meet thresholds for retail rate disparity and for customer per capita and household income, or that have suffered a severe, unavoidable hardship, such as a natural disaster. The financial assistance helps electric borrowers provide reliable, affordable electric service for rural homes and businesses. Reducing funding by \$100,000,000 under the section 305 program will provide no lending authority under this program in 2013; however, all eligible borrowers will continue to be served through the new section 4 direct electric loan program and at a lower interest rate (at treasury rate plus 1/8). This shift is expected to have no negative impacts on the number of loan approved or the number of rural residents served.

(2) A decrease of \$6,500,000,000 in electric Federal financing bank loans (\$6,500,000,000 available in 2012).

Guaranteed loans are provided under section 306 of the RE Act for financing of electric distribution, transmission, improvements on generating systems, headquarters facilities and demand side management and energy efficiency programs, and on-grid and off-grid renewable energy systems. The FFB has been the primary source of these loans in recent years. The financial assistance helps electric borrowers provide reliable, affordable electric service for rural homes and businesses. Reducing authorized lending for this program by \$6,500,000,000 will make these loans unavailable in 2013. However, this reduction in FFB funding provided for eligible electric loan purposes will be offset by the availability of \$6,100,000,000 under the new section 4 direct electric loan program (at treasury rate plus 1/8) for a net decrease of \$500,000,000 in available loan funds. The shift will not affect the number of eligible borrowers, as prior funding has exceeded demand.

(3) A decrease of \$424,286,000 for electric underwriters for notes and bonds (\$424,286,000 available in 2012).

Bond and note guarantees for private, cooperative lenders are authorized under section 313A of the Rural Electrification Act. Rural Development may guarantee payments on certain bonds or notes issued by qualified cooperative or other non-profit lenders. The bond or note proceeds must be used for eligible rural electrification or telephone purposes (excluding electric generation) and must be investment grade. The interest rate is equal to the Treasury rate for notes of similar maturities plus an annual fee of 30 basis points on any unpaid principal balance. The fee is deposited to the rural economic development subaccount and is available to fund the rural economic development loans and grant programs. All guarantees issued under this authority have been for loans made by Federal Financing Bank (FFB). Elimination of the program will not affect the availability of financing for rural electrification purposes.

(4) An increase of \$6,100,000,000 in treasury electric plus loans (\$0 available in 2012).

For 2013, Rural Development is proposing to implement the new 2008 Farm Bill direct electric loan authority under section 4 of the Rural Electrification Act at a program level of \$6,100,000,000 in lieu of section 305 direct hardship loans and section 306 loan guarantees from the FFB. Section 4 loans may be used for electric distribution, and transmission; including system improvements and replacements of generating facilities required to provide and improve electric service in rural areas; and for demand side management, energy efficiency, and conservation programs, and on-grid and off-grid renewable energy systems. The new direct electric loan program carries a statutory interest rate of Treasury rate plus 1/8 percent. The direct loan program offers borrowers a lower interest rate than section 305 hardship loans and the additional flexibility of options now available under FFB loan guarantees. Also, offering all financing under the direct loan program will increase program flexibility and simplify and improve processing and accounting requirements while continuing to address the needs of electric borrowers.

A program level of \$6,100,000,000 will provide an opportunity for electric borrowers to finance new and improved electric facilities serving approximately 5,625,000 rural consumers.

(5) A decrease of \$145,000,000 in direct telecommunications loans (\$145,000,000 available in 2012).

This program provides direct loans to finance the improvement or extension of telecommunications service in rural areas. All telecommunications facilities financed must be broadband capable. The development of the Internet-based economy provides unique opportunities for rural America. Broadband infrastructure greatly helps to mitigate the limitations on business development in rural areas caused by geographical distance and a limited customer base. This program currently costs borrowers more than Treasury rate programs, although it is supposed to be a "hardship" program. The currently-funded activities will continue through the Treasury rate loan program with no impact on loan levels or number of rural residents served.

(6) An increase of \$440,000,000 in Treasury telecommunications loans (\$250,000,000 available in 2012).

This program provides treasury rate loans to finance the improvement or extension of telecommunications service in rural areas. All telecommunications facilities financed must be broadband capable. The development of the Internet-based economy provides unique opportunities for rural America. Broadband infrastructure greatly helps to mitigate the limitations on business development in rural areas caused by geographical distance and a limited customer base. Combining all telecommunications programs authority into a single direct loan program with a \$690,000,000 funding level will increase program flexibility and simplify and improve processing and accounting requirements while continuing to address the needs of the program's borrowers.

(7) A decrease of \$295,000,000 in Federal financing bank telecommunications loans (\$295,000,000 available in 2012).

This program provides FFB telecommunications loan guarantees to finance the improvement or extension of telecommunications service in rural areas. The program level will be included in the Treasury telecommunications loans, with no impact on loan levels or number of rural residents served.

(8) A decrease of \$594,000 for electric underwriters for notes and bonds loan subsidy (\$594,000 available in 2012).

Bond and note guarantees for private, cooperative lenders are authorized under section 313A of the Rural Electrification Act. Rural Development may guarantee payments on certain bonds or notes issued by qualified cooperative or other non-profit lenders. The bond or note proceeds must be used for eligible rural electrification or telephone purposes (excluding electric generation) and must be investment grade. The interest rate is equal to the Treasury rate for notes of similar maturities plus an annual fee of 30 basis points on any unpaid principal balance. The fee is deposited to the rural economic development subaccount and is available to fund the rural economic development loans and grant programs. All guarantees issued under this authority have been for loans made by FFB. Elimination of the program will not materially affect the availability of financing for rural electrification purposes as funds remain available for electric treasury plus loans. There is no funding for this program in 2013.

(9) A decrease of \$1,915,000 in administrative expenses (\$38,297,000 available in 2012).

Justification for administrative expenses in the amount of \$34,467,000 and the associated staff-years are reflected in the Rural Development Salaries and Expenses exhibits.

	RURA	L UTILITIES SERVI	CE		
	GEOGRAPHIC BI	REAKDOWN OF OF	BLIGATIONS		
	(Do	llars in thousands)			
	Electr	ric Loans - Direct 5%	ó		
	2010 Actual	<u>2011 Actual</u>	2012 Estimate		2013 Estimate
Kansas	\$9,303	\$7,320			
Michigan	5,000				
New Mexico		2,456			
North Carolina	6,500	25,000			
South Dakota		4,834			
Virginia	79,197				
Total Avail./Est.	\$100,000	\$39,610	\$100,000	<u>1</u> /	
L/ Cannot be distributed	d by geographic area in	advance.			

	RURA GEOGRAPHIC B	REAKDOWN OF OBL	IGATIONS	
		ollars in thousands)		
	(Di			
	Fl	ectric Loans - FFB		
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Alabama	\$75,942	\$108,686		
Alaska	10,600	150,000		
Arizona	131,203	49,329		
Arkansas	806,056	203,568		
Colorado	417,265	218,524		
Delaware		28,300		
Florida	142,758	132,264		
Georgia	527,095	744,606		
Hawaii	109,968			
Illinois	20,000	18,196		
Indiana	40,700	530,226		
Iowa	81,973	19,700		
Kansas	28,021	88,960		
Kentucky	178,313	43,992		
Louisiana	55,050	44,577		
Maine		1,763		
Maryland		37,000		
Michigan	88,000	42,912		
Minnesota	205,748	117,168		
Mississippi	329,081			
Missouri	641,022	205,480		
Montana	16,419	31,583		
New Mexico	135,120			
New York	6,170			
North Carolina	241,000	203,594		
North Dakota	648,759	292,183		
Ohio	153,550	36,115		
Oklahoma	56,911	251,854		
Oregon	10,000	21,244		
Pennsylvania		20,000		
South Carolina	70,724	25,000		
South Dakota	44,820	29,723		
Tennessee	54,900	23,000		
Texas	545,395	376,602		
Vermont		7,400		
Virginia	550,411	94,400		
Washington	17,377	10,167		
West Virginia		3,000		
Wisconsin	33,000	11,200		
Wyoming	26,649	10,931		
Total Avail./Est.	\$6,500,000	\$4,233,247	\$6,500,000 1/	
	G	tood Undow-witin - I		
	Guarant	eed Underwriting Loar	18	
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Virginia	\$500,000	\$499,000		
Total Avail./Est.	500,000	\$499,000	\$424,286 1/	
	Electri	ic Treasury Plus Loans		
		2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Total Avail./Est.				\$6,100,000
				,

	SLOGIC II III	CBREAKDOWN OF OBI (Dollars in thousands)		
		(Donais in tilousanus)		
	Telecommunication	ons Loans - Direct 5% and	d Treasury Rate	
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Alabama	\$22,418			
Alaska	13,150			
Arkansas	28,297			
Colorado		\$18,725		
Idaho	11,243	 67.205		
Illinois	11,426	67,395		
Iowa Kansas	17,184	48,974 31,347		
Kansas Minnesota	10,215	31,347		
Missouri	5,000			
Montana	2,273 9,000	70,000		
Nebraska	101,562	10,280		
New Mexico	54,401			
North Dakota	8,972	86,810		
Oregon	12,277			
Oklahoma		9,091		
South Dakota	37,870			
Wisconsin	29,726			
Гехаs		22,540		
Wisconsin		24,143		
Wyoming	19,986	5,695		
Total Avail./Est.	\$395,000	\$395,000	\$395,000 <u>1</u> /	\$690,000
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Alabama	2010 Actual \$26,027	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Alabama Arkansas		2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	<u>2013 Estimate</u>
	\$26,027			
Arkansas Georgia Idaho	\$26,027 2,910			
Arkansas Georgia	\$26,027 2,910 	 \$11,954		
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana	\$26,027 2,910 	\$11,954 17,075	 	
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa	\$26,027 2,910 	\$11,954 17,075 18,983	 	
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas	\$26,027 2,910 	\$11,954 17,075 18,983 29,139	 	
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa	\$26,027 2,910 	\$11,954 17,075 18,983 29,139 23,139		
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas	\$26,027 2,910 5,317	\$11,954 17,075 18,983 29,139 23,139 15,729		
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Montana	\$26,027 2,910 5,317 85,552	\$11,954 17,075 18,983 29,139 23,139 15,729		
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska	\$26,027 2,910 5,317 85,552 328	\$11,954 17,075 18,983 29,139 23,139 15,729		
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska New Mexico	\$26,027 2,910 5,317 85,552 328 74,337	\$11,954 17,075 18,983 29,139 23,139 15,729		
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska New Mexico North Dakota	\$26,027 2,910 5,317 85,552 328 74,337 10,775	\$11,954 17,075 18,983 29,139 23,139 15,729		
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska New Mexico North Dakota Oregon	\$26,027 2,910 5,317 85,552 328 74,337 10,775	\$11,954 17,075 18,983 29,139 23,139 15,729		
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska New Mexico North Dakota	\$26,027 2,910 5,317 85,552 328 74,337 10,775 23,350			
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska New Mexico North Dakota Oregon South Carolina Tennessee	\$26,027 2,910 5,317 85,552 328 74,337 10,775 23,350			
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska New Mexico North Dakota Oregon South Carolina Tennessee Washington	\$26,027 2,910 5,317 85,552 328 74,337 10,775 23,350			
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska New Mexico North Dakota Oregon South Carolina Tennessee Washington Wisconsin	\$26,027 2,910 5,317 85,552 328 74,337 10,775 23,350	11,954 17,075 18,983 29,139 23,139 15,729 12,358 22,500 5,930 27,069		
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska New Mexico North Dakota Oregon South Carolina Tennessee Washington	\$26,027 2,910 5,317 85,552 328 74,337 10,775 23,350	11,954 17,075 18,983 29,139 23,139 15,729 		
Arkansas Georgia Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Minnesota Missouri Montana Nebraska New Mexico North Dakota Oregon South Carolina Tennessee Washington Wisconsin	\$26,027 2,910 5,317 85,552 328 74,337 10,775 23,350 46,419	11,954 17,075 18,983 29,139 23,139 15,729 		

	RURA	L UTILITIES SER	VICE		
	RURAL ELECTRIFICATION	AND TELECOMM	MUNICATIONS PE	ROGRAM	
	Clas	sification by Object	<u>cts</u>		
	(Do	ollars in Thousand	s)		
		2010	2011	2012	2013
		Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Othe	er Objects:				
25	Other purchases of goods and services				
	from government accounts	\$39,959	\$38,297	\$36,382	\$34,467
41	Grants, subsidies, and contributions	0	699	594	0
Tota	l direct obligations	39,959	38,996	36,976	34,467

RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE

The estimates include appropriation language for this item as follows (new language underscores; deleted matter enclosed in brackets):

Distance Learning, Telemedicine and Broadband Program

For the principal amount of broadband telecommunication loans, [\$212,014,000]\$94,139,000.

For grants for telemedicine and distance learning services in rural areas, as authorized by 7 U.S.C. 950aaa et seq., [\$21,000,000]\$24,950,000, to remain available until expended[: *Provided*, That \$3,000,000 shall be made available for grants authorized by 379G of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act: *Provided further*, That funding provided under this heading for grants under 379G of the Consolidated Farm and Rural Development Act may only be provided to entities that meet all of the eligibility criteria for a consortium as established by this section: *Provided further*, That \$3,000,000 shall be made available to those noncommercial educational television broadcast stations that serve rural areas and are qualified for Community Service Grants by the Corporation for Public Broadcasting under section 396(k) of the Communications Act of 1934, including associated translators and repeaters, regardless of the location of their main transmitter, studio-to-transmitter links, and equipment to allow local control over digital content and programming through the use of high-definition broadcast, multi-casting and datacasting technologies].

For the cost of broadband loans, as authorized by section 601 of the Rural Electrification Act, [\$6,000,000]\$8,915,000, to remain available until expended: *Provided*, That the cost of direct loans shall be as defined in section 502 Congressional Budget Act of 1974.

In addition, [\$10,372,000]\$\frac{\$13,379,000}{\$13,379,000}\$, to remain available until expended, for a grant program to finance broadband transmission in rural areas eligible for Distance Learning and Telemedicine Program benefits authorized by 7 U.S.C. 950aaa.

<u>This change</u> eliminates language for the Delta healthcare grants and for the conversion analog to digital operation to allow local control over digital content and programming through the use of high definition broadcast, multi-casting and data-casting technologies as the conversion will be finalized by June 30, 2012. Funding is not needed for this purpose in 2013.

RURAL UTII	LITIES SERVICE									
Analysis of Change in Appropriation										
DISTANCE LEARNING, TELEMEDICINE,	AND BROADBAN	D PROGRAM AC	COUNT							
(On basis of loan lev	el, subsidy, and gra	ints)								
	Loan Level	Subsidy	Grants							
Appropriations Act, 2012	\$169,014,085	\$6,000,000	\$31,372,000							
Budget Estimate, 2013	94,139,388	8,915,000	38,329,000							
Change from 2012 Appropriations	-74,874,697	2,915,000	6,957,000							

		RURAL UTILITI	ES SERVICE			
DISTA	NCE LEARNING, TEI	LEMEDICINE, AN	D BROADBAND	PROGRAM ACC	OUNT	
	<u>Sui</u>	mmary of Increase	s and Decreases			
		(Dollars in the	ousands)			
		2010	2011	2012	2013	2013
		Actual	Change	Change	Change	Estimated
Discretionary Appropriations:						
Distance learning and telemedicine g	grants	\$30,255	-\$5,305	-\$9,950	+9,950 (1	\$24,950
Health care services grants		3,000	-6	+6	-3,000 (2	2) 0
Public broadcasting systems grants		4,500	-9	-1,491	-3,000 (3	3) 0
Direct broadband telecommunication	ns Treasury					
rate loans		28,960	-6,684	-16,276	+2,915 (4	8,915
Broadband grants		17,976	-4,597	-3,007	+3,007 (5	5) 13,379
Total Appropriation or Change		84,691	-16,601	-30,718	+9,872	47,244

PROJECT STATEMENT (On basis of supportable loan levels and appropriated subsidies and grants) (In thousands of dollars) 2010 Actual 2011 Actual 2012 Estimated Increase or Decrease 2013 Estimated Program Level | Subsidy/BA | Program Level | Program Level Subsidy/BA Item of Change Distance learning and telemedicine: \$0 \$0 \$64,894 \$64,894 \$15,000 \$15,000 \$9,950 (1) \$9,950 \$24,950 \$24,950 Grants..... -3,000 (2) Health care services grants..... 5,994 5,994 3,000 3,000 -3,000 4,627 4,627 4,751 3,000 3,000 -3,000 (3) -3,000 Public broadcasting systems grants..... 4,751 Broadband telecommunication: Direct, Treasury rate loans..... 74,297 -74,875 (4) 5,379 37,431 2,337 169,014 6,000 2,915 (6) 94,139 8,915 Direct, Treasury rate loans - ARRA a/..... 1,191,844 86,290 13,527 13,527 10,372 10.372 3.007 (5) 3.007 13,379 13,379 Grants..... Grants - ARRA a/.... 2,337,246 2,337,246 0 Total Available or Estimate..... 3,608,014 2,433,542 126,596 91,503 200,386 37,372 -67,918 9,872 132,468 47,244 Recovery of prior year obligations..... -5,215 -8,495 -8.495 -5,215 -3.857.243 -103,975 Unobligated balance available, start of year...... -2,449,075 -769,908 0 0 0 0 Unobligated balance expiring..... 10,426 0 1,464 0 699,749 103,975 301,181 25,057 0 Unobligated balance available, end of year..... Unobligated balance rescission b/..... 722,103 64,000 Rescission c/..... 746 136 0 455,731 84,691 372,223 68,226 200,386 37,372 -67,918 9.872 132,468 47,244 Total Appropriation, Discretionary Mandatory: Broadband Direct, treasury rate loans: Recovery of prior year obligations..... -626 -45 -1.386 -78 0 0 -813 -45 0 Unobligated balance available, start of year..... 0 0

455,731 NOTE: Individual columns may not add due to rounding. Staff-years are reflected in the Salaries and Expenses Project Statement.

626

Unobligated balance, end of year.....

Total Appropriation.....

45

84,691

2,199

372,223

123

37,372

-67,918

9,872

132,468

68,226

0

47,244

a/ Provided by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P.L. 111-5, signed February 17, 2009, for the purpose of making supplemental appropriations for job preservation and creation, infrastructure investment, energy efficiency and science, assistance to the unemployed, and State and local fiscal stabilization. The funds are available through the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010.

b/ The amounts are rescinded pursuant to Division B, Title I, Sections 1290 and 1295 of the Department of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011, P.L. 112-10.

c/ The amounts are rescinded pursuant to Division B, Title I, Section 1119 of the Department of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011, P.L. 112-10.

PROJECT STATEMENT

(On basis of obligations under available funds)

(In thousands of dollars)

	2010 A	ctual	2011 A	ctual	2012 Est	imated	Increase of	r Decrease	2013 Es	timated
Item of Change	Program Level	Subsidy/BA								
Distance learning and telemedicine:										
Grants	\$0	\$0	\$64,894	\$64,894	\$16,159	\$16,159	\$8,792	\$8,792	\$24,950	\$24,950
Health care services grants	0	0	5,994	5,994	3,000	3,000	-3,000	-3,000	0	0
Public broadcasting systems grants	4,627	4,627	4,751	4,751	3,000	3,000	-3,000	-3,000	0	0
Broadband telecommunication:										
Direct, Treasury rate loans	74,297	5,379	37,431	2,337	735,949	26,126	-641,810	-17,211	94,139	8,915
Direct, Treasury rate loans - ARRA a/	1,191,844	86,290	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Grants	0	0	13,527	13,527	14,144	14,144	-765	-765	13,379	13,379
Grants - ARRA <u>a</u> /	2,337,246	2,337,246	0	0						
Total Available or Estimate	3,608,014	2,433,542	126,596	91,503	772,251	62,428	-639,783	-15,184	132,468	47,244
Recovery of prior year obligations	-5,215	-5,215	-8,495	-8,495	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unobligated balance available, start of year	-3,857,243	-2,449,075	-769,908	-103,975	-571,865	-25,057	571,865	25,057	0	0
Unobligated balance expiring	10,426	1,464			0	0	0	0	0	0
Unobligated balance available, end of year	699,749	103,975	301,181	25,057	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unobligated balance rescission <u>b</u> /	0	0	722,103	64,000	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rescission a/	0	0	746	136	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Appropriation, Discretionary	455,731	84,691	372,223	68,226	200,386	37,371	-67,918	9,873	132,468	47,244
Mandatory:										
Broadband Direct, treasury rate loans:	0	0	0	0	3,456	123	-3,456	-123	0	0
Recovery of prior year obligations	-626	-45	-1,386	-78	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unobligated balance available, start of year	0	0	-813	-45	-3,456	-123	3,456	123	0	0
Unobligated balance, end of year	626	45	2,199	123	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Appropriation	455,731	84,691	372,223	68,226	200,386	37,371	-67,918	9,873	132,468	47,244

NOTE: Individual columns may not add due to rounding. Staff-years are reflected in the Salaries and Expenses Project Statement.

a/ Provided by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, P.L. 111-5, signed February 17, 2009, for the purpose of making supplemental appropriations for job preservation and creation, infrastructure investment, energy efficiency and science, assistance to the unemployed, and State and local fiscal stabilization. The funds are available through the fiscal year ending September 30, 2010.

b/ The amounts are rescinded pursuant to Division B, Title I, Sections 1290 and 1295 of the Department of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011, P.L. 112-10.

c/ The amounts are rescinded pursuant to Division B, Title I, Section 1119 of the Department of Defense and Full-Year Continuing Appropriations Act, 2011, P.L. 112-10.

JUSTIFICATION OF INCREASES AND DECREASES

(1) An increase of \$9,950,000 in distance learning and telemedicine grants (\$15,000,000 available in 2012).

This program is designed specifically to meet the educational and health care needs of rural America by funding advanced telecommunications technologies to provide enhanced learning and health care opportunities for rural residents. The increase reflects the demand for the educational and health needs in rural areas and will fund up to 50 additional projects. Telemedicine and distance learning are critical services in rural America, where education performance lags and many residents live in medically underserved counties.

(2) A decrease of \$3,000,000 in Delta healthcare services grants (\$3,000,000 available in 2012).

This program provides grant funds for developing heath care services, health education programs, and public health-related facilities in the Delta Region. These grants are targeted to a consortia of regional institutions of higher education, academic health and research institutes, and economic development entities in the region that have experience in addressing the health care issues. Funding can be provided through the distance learning and telemedicine program

(3) A decrease of \$3,000,000 in public broadcast system grants (\$3,000,000 available in 2012).

This program provides grant funds for assisting public television stations serving substantial rural populations in transitioning to digital broadcast television transmission. These grants are targeted to public television stations which serve rural areas. Funding for this program is not needed as the transition will be finished in 2012.

(4) A decrease of \$74,875,000 in direct broadband telecommunication treasury rate loans (\$169,014,000 available in 2012).

This program provides loans for funding on a technology neutral basis (meaning any technology that meets the performance requirements can be funded and no technology is given preference over another), for the costs of construction, improvement, and acquisition of facilities and equipment to provide broadband service to eligible rural communities. These loans are available to cooperative, nonprofit, limited dividend or mutual associations, limited liability companies, Indian tribes and tribal organizations, and commercial organizations. In 2012, the program level of \$169 million will fund approximately 7 loans for the deployment of broadband infrastructure. The reduction of \$74,875,000 will provide a program level that is consistent with historical annual demand for this program.

(5) An increase of \$3,007,000 in broadband telecommunications grants (\$10,372,000 available in 2012).

This program serves rural communities where broadband service is least likely to be available, but where it can make a tremendous difference in the quality of life for citizens. The projects funded by these grants will be used in areas that will never have access if grant funds are not available. Targeting unserved communities is that lack traditional investment opportunities with grant funds is the only way to ensure this economically challenged rural communities are served. The increase in funding is needed to achieve this goal.

(6) An increase of \$2,915,000 in loan subsidy for direct broadband telecommunications rate loans (\$6,000,000 available in 2012).

This program provides loans for funding on a technology neutral basis (meaning any technology that meets the performance requirements can be funded and no technology is given preference over another) for the costs of construction, improvement, and acquisition of facilities and equipment to provide broadband service to eligible rural communities. These loans are available to cooperative, nonprofit, limited dividend or mutual associations, limited liability companies, Indian tribes and tribal organizations, and commercial organizations. The increase in loan subsidy is necessary due to an increase in the program subsidy rate. The 2013 subsidy rate is higher due to an increase in actual defaults in the program.

	(D	ollars in thousands)		
	Distance Lea	rning and Telemedicin	e Grants	
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Alabama	<u>2010 Actual</u>	\$2,227	<u>2012 Estillate</u>	<u>2013 Estimate</u>
Alaska		3,998		_
Arizona		1,117		_
Arkansas		763		_
California		418		_
Colorado		878		_
Florida		123		_
Georgia		840		_
Idaho		422		_
Illinois		170		_
Indiana		315		_
Iowa		1,049		_
Kentucky		1,557		_
Louisiana		498		_
Maine		7,251		_
Massachusetts		500		_
Michigan		93		_
Minnesota		2,216		_
Mississippi		1,016		_
Missouri		3,156		_
Montana		1,672		_
Nebraska		2,025		_
New Hampshire		720		_
New Jersey		143		_
New Mexico		975		_
New York		3,715		_
North Carolina		1,771		_
North Dakota		694		_
Ohio		1,073		_
Oklahoma		4,486		_
Oregon		1,444		-
Pennsylvania		866		-
South Carolina		451		-
South Carolina South Dakota		1,596		-
Tennessee		3,461		-
Texas		3,175		-
Utah		1,751		-
Vermont		1,068		-
Virginia		345		-
Washington		1,440		-
West Virginia		110		-
Wisconsin		1,717		-
Wyoming		1,437		-
W. Pacific Areas		148		-
Total Avail./Est.		\$64,894	\$16,159	1/ \$24,950
		\$0.,001	\$10,137	_

		REAKDOWN OF OBL	IGATIONS		
	(De	ollars in thousands)			
	Public Broad	lcasting Systems Grant	S		
	2010	2011			
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate		2013 Est
Arizona	\$178	417			
California	550	417			
Colorado	142	417			
Idaho	142	73			
Illinois	749				
Kentucky	678	577			
Maine		289			
Minnesota		512			
Mississippi		145			
Missouri	277	682			
New Mexico	39	256			
New York	347				
North Carolina		357			
North Dakota	383				
South Dakota		750			
Tennessee	919	667			
Vermont		26			
West Virginia	366				
Total Avail./Est.	\$4,627	\$4,751	\$3,000	<u>1</u> /	
	Consolidated Farm and	Rural Development A	ct, Section 379 G		
	Delta H	lealthcare Services Gran	nt		
	2010 Actual	<u>2011 Actual</u>	2012 Estimate		<u>2013 Est</u>
Alabama		\$385			
Arkansas		465			
Illinois		520			
Kentucky		233			
Louisiana		698			
Mississippi		3,693			
Total Avail/Est.		\$5,994	\$3,000	1/	

		BREAKDOWN OF OB	LIGA HUNS	
	(I	Dollars in thousands)		
	Direct Broadband Tele	ecommunications Loan	s - Treasury Rate	
	2010	2011	2012 77	2012 7
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Alabama	\$73,187	\$6,157		
Indiana	769			
Kansas		10.740		
Minnesota		19,749		
Missouri	24			
New Mexico	317	2,114		
South Carolina		9,411		
Total Avail./Est.	\$74,297	\$37,431	\$735,949 <u>1</u> /	\$94,139
	Broadban	d Telecommunications	Grants	
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
California		\$1,142		
Illinois		2,873		
Kentucky		993		
Louisiana		2,233		
Nevada		1,047		
New Hampshire		1,142		
Oklahoma		1,455		
Texas		571		
Virginia		2,071		
Total Avail./Est.		\$13,527	\$14,144 1/	\$13,379
Man	datory Direct Broadban	nd Telecommunications	Loans - Treasury Rate	
	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Estimate	2013 Estimate
Total Avail./Est.			\$3,456 1/	

RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE GEOGRAPHIC BREAKDOWN OF OBLIGATIONS 2010 Actual and Estimated 2011 and 2012 Direct Broadband Telecommunications Loans - Treasury Rate Recovery Act 2012 2013 2011 2010 Actual **Estimate Estimate Actual** \$48,520 Alaska 12,478 Arizona 8,655 California Colorado 13,417 13,061 Florida 28,261 Georgia --Hawaii 107 8,231 Idaho 10,871 Illinois 9,006 Indiana Iowa 33,869 63,770 Kansas 117,912 Kentucky 24,818 Louisiana 2,898 Maryland 45,487 Michigan 109,410 Minnesota 422 Mississippi 64,550 Missouri 39,577 Montana 3,397 Nebraska Nevada 5,332 29,789 New Mexico 12,137 New York 46,284 North Carolina 31,745 North Dakota 13,055 Ohio 73,074 Oklahoma --6,715 Oregon South Carolina 5,663 9,047 South Dakota 66,465 Tennessee 117,906 Texas 621 Utah 36,834 Vermont 17,031 Virginia Washington 12,575 10,970 West Virginia 26,285 Wisconsin 10,000 W. Pacific Areas National Office 1,600 \$1,191,844 Total Avail./Est.

	GEOGRAPHIC BREAKD	ITIES SERVICE OWN OF OBLIGA	TIONS	
	Broadband Telecommunications Grants 2011 2012 Actual Estimate			
	Recov	ery Act		
	2010 Actual			<u>20</u>
Alabama	\$22 994			Estima
Alaska	· ·			
Arizona				
Arkansas	·			
California	·			
Colorado	·			
Florida	36,231			
Georgia	53,193			
Idaho	11,131			
Illinois	11,278			
Indiana	13,421			
Iowa	61,847			
Kansas	69,364			
Kentucky	144,907			
Louisiana	21,775			
Maine	7,395			
Maryland	67,472			
Michigan	77,147			
Minnesota	84,169			
Mississippi	· ·			
Missouri	130,651			
Montana				
Nebraska	·			
Nevada				
New Hampshire				
New Mexico	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
New York				
North Carolina				
North Dakota				
Ohio				
Oklahoma				
Oregon				
South Carolina				
South Dakota				
Tennessee	123,611			
Texas Utah	11,249			
Vermont	85,557			
Virginia	75,061			
Washington	49,760			
West Virginia	32,101			
Wisconsin	105,295			
W. Pacific Areas	81,035			
National Office	1,600			
Total Avail./Est.	\$2,337,246	_		-

	RURAL	UTILITIES SERVIO	CE		
	DISTANCE LEARNING, TELEMEDIC	INE, AND BROAD	BAND PROC	GRAM ACCOU	UNT
	Classif	ication by Objects			
	(Dolla	rs in Thousands)			
		2010	2011	2012	2013
		Actual	Actual	Estimated	Estimated
Oth	er Objects:				
41	Grants, subsidies, and contributions	\$2,433,542	\$91,503	\$62,551	\$47,244
Tot	al direct obligations	2,433,542	91,503	62,551	47,244

RUF	RAL UTILITIE	S SERVICE			
HIGH	I ENERGY CO	ST GRANTS			
Di	ROJECT STAT	FEMENT			
	sis of appropri		r)		
	n thousands o				
	2010	2011	2012	Increase or	2013
Item of Change	Actual	Actual	Estimated	Decrease	Estimated
High energy cost grants a/	\$17,954	\$7,450	\$9,500	-\$9,500	(
Total Available or Estimate	17,954	7,450	9,500	-\$9,500	(
Transfer from Rural Water and					
Waste Disposal Program	-17,500	-11,976	-9,500	9,500	(
Unobligated balance available, start of year	-17,633	-17,179	0	0	(
Unobligated balance available, end of year	17,179	21,705	0	0	(
Total Appropriation	0	0	0	0	(
Staff years are reflected in the Salaries and Exp	enses Project	Statement.			
/ 1. 2010 #17 500 000 : 2011 1.2012 #11 0	76,000	11 16 41		d D 1777	1 337
a/ In 2010, \$17,500,000, in 2011 and 2012, \$11,9					
Disposal Program account and transferred	to this accoun	t. In 2013 no	iunas are pr	oposea for thi	s program.
Di	DOTECT STAT	PEMENIT			
	ROJECT STAT				
(On	basis of avail	able funds)			
(On		able funds)			
(On	basis of avail	able funds)	2012	Increase or	2013
(On	basis of availant thousands o	able funds) of dollars)	2012 Estimated	Increase or Decrease	
(On (I Item of Change	basis of availant thousands of 2010	able funds) of dollars) 2011			Estimated
(On	basis of availant thousands of 2010 Actual	able funds) of dollars) 2011 Actual	Estimated	Decrease	Estimated (
(On (I Item of Change High energy cost grants <u>a</u> /	basis of availant thousands of 2010 Actual \$17,954	able funds) of dollars) 2011 Actual \$7,450	Estimated \$31,205	Decrease -\$31,205	Estimated (
(On (I	basis of availant thousands of 2010 Actual \$17,954	able funds) of dollars) 2011 Actual \$7,450	Estimated \$31,205	Decrease -\$31,205	Estimated (
(On (In the content of the content	2010 Actual \$17,954	2011 Actual \$7,450	### Estimated ### \$31,205 ### 31,205	Decrease -\$31,205 -31,205	Estimated (
(On (Is (Is	2010 Actual \$17,954 17,954	2011 Actual \$7,450 -11,976	### Estimated ### \$31,205 ### 31,205 ### -9,500	Decrease -\$31,205 -31,205 9,500	Estimated (
Item of Change High energy cost grants a/ Total Available or Estimate Transfer from Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Unobligated balance available, start of year	2010 Actual \$17,954 17,954 -17,500 -17,633	2011 Actual \$7,450 7,450 -11,976 -17,179	Estimated \$31,205 31,205 -9,500 -21,705	Decrease -\$31,205 -31,205 9,500 21,705	Estimated (
Item of Change High energy cost grants a/ Total Available or Estimate Transfer from Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Unobligated balance available, start of year Unobligated balance available, end of year	2010 Actual \$17,954 17,954 -17,500 -17,633 17,179	2011 Actual \$7,450 7,450 -11,976 -17,179 21,705	Estimated \$31,205 31,205 -9,500 -21,705 0	Decrease -\$31,205 -31,205 9,500 21,705 0	Estimated (
Item of Change High energy cost grants a/ Total Available or Estimate Transfer from Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Unobligated balance available, start of year Unobligated balance available, end of year Total Appropriation Staff years are reflected in the Salaries and Exp	2010 Actual \$17,954 17,954 -17,500 -17,633 17,179 0 enses Project	2011 Actual \$7,450 7,450 -11,976 -17,179 21,705 0 Statement.	Estimated \$31,205 31,205 -9,500 -21,705 0	Decrease -\$31,205 -31,205 9,500 21,705 0	Estimated (
Item of Change High energy cost grants a/ Total Available or Estimate Transfer from Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Unobligated balance available, start of year Unobligated balance available, end of year Total Appropriation Staff years are reflected in the Salaries and Exp a/ In 2010, \$17,500,000, in 2011 and 2012, \$11,9	2010 Actual \$17,954 17,954 -17,500 -17,633 17,179 0 enses Project 76,000 was pro	2011 Actual \$7,450 7,450 -11,976 -17,179 21,705 0 Statement.	Estimated \$31,205 31,205 -9,500 -21,705 0 0	Decrease -\$31,205 -31,205 9,500 21,705 0 0 the Rural Wat	Estimated () () () () () () () () () () () () ()
Item of Change High energy cost grants a/ Total Available or Estimate Transfer from Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Unobligated balance available, start of year Unobligated balance available, end of year Total Appropriation Staff years are reflected in the Salaries and Exp	2010 Actual \$17,954 17,954 -17,500 -17,633 17,179 0 enses Project 76,000 was pro	2011 Actual \$7,450 7,450 -11,976 -17,179 21,705 0 Statement.	Estimated \$31,205 31,205 -9,500 -21,705 0 0	Decrease -\$31,205 -31,205 9,500 21,705 0 0 the Rural Wat	Estimated () () () () () () () () () () () () ()
Item of Change High energy cost grants a/ Total Available or Estimate Transfer from Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Unobligated balance available, start of year Unobligated balance available, end of year Total Appropriation Staff years are reflected in the Salaries and Exp a/ In 2010, \$17,500,000, in 2011 and 2012, \$11,9 Disposal Program account and transferred	2010 Actual \$17,954 17,954 -17,500 -17,633 17,179 0 enses Project 76,000 was proto this account	able funds) 2011 Actual \$7,450 7,450 -11,976 -17,179 21,705 0 Statement.	### Estimated ### \$31,205 ### 31,205 ### 31,205 ### -9,500 ### -21,705 ### 0 ### 0 ### 0 ### 0 ### ### ##	Decrease -\$31,205 -31,205 9,500 21,705 0 0 the Rural Wat	Estimated () () () () () () () () () () () () ()
Item of Change High energy cost grants a/ Total Available or Estimate Transfer from Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Unobligated balance available, start of year Unobligated balance available, end of year Total Appropriation Staff years are reflected in the Salaries and Exp a/ In 2010, \$17,500,000, in 2011 and 2012, \$11,9 Disposal Program account and transferred	2010 Actual \$17,954 17,954 -17,500 -17,633 17,179 0 enses Project 76,000 was pro	able funds) 2011 Actual \$7,450 7,450 -11,976 -17,179 21,705 0 Statement.	### Estimated ### \$31,205 ### 31,205 ### 31,205 ### -9,500 ### -21,705 ### 0 ### 0 ### 0 ### 0 ### 0 ### ####	Decrease -\$31,205 -31,205 9,500 21,705 0 0 the Rural Wat	Estimated () () () () () () () () () () () () ()
Item of Change High energy cost grants a/ Total Available or Estimate Transfer from Rural Water and Waste Disposal Program Unobligated balance available, start of year Unobligated balance available, end of year Total Appropriation Staff years are reflected in the Salaries and Exp a/ In 2010, \$17,500,000, in 2011 and 2012, \$11,9 Disposal Program account and transferred	2010 Actual \$17,954 17,954 -17,500 -17,633 17,179 0 enses Project 76,000 was proto this account	able funds) 2011 Actual \$7,450 7,450 -11,976 -17,179 21,705 0 Statement. ovided for thi t. In 2013 no	Estimated \$31,205 31,205 -9,500 -21,705 0 0 is program in funds are program in	Decrease -\$31,205 -31,205 9,500 21,705 0 0 the Rural Wat	Estimated () () () () () () () () () () () () ()

With limited funding available in the 2013 Budget, difficult choices had to be made. No funding is being requested during 2013. Some communities served by the program may qualify for assistance under other Federal programs, including some in USDA, Rural Development such as the proposed electric treasury plus loan program (currently the FFB guaranteed loan program) or the Rural Energy for America Program.

				UTILITIES SERV						
		GEOGRAPHIC	BRE	AKDOWN OF C	OBLI	GATIONS				
		(Dolla	ers in thousands)					
	High Energy Cost Grants									
		<u>2010 Actual</u>		<u>2011 Actual</u>	<u> 20</u>	012 Estimate		2013 Estimate		
	Alaska	\$13,221		\$5,775						
	California	750								
	Maine	99								
	Massachusetts	750								
	Washington			1,675						
	W. Pacific Areas	3,135								
	Total Avail./Est.	\$17,954		\$7,450		\$31,205	<u>1</u> /			
1/	Cannot be distribute	d by geographic a	area ir	n advance.						

	RURAL UT	ΊL	TIES SER	VI	CE			:		
	HIGH ENERGY COST GRANTS									
	Classifica	tio	n by Objec	ets	<u>.</u>					
	(Dollars	in	thousands	s)						
			FY 2010		FY 2011		FY 2012	FY	2013	
			<u>Actual</u>		<u>Actual</u>		<u>Estimated</u>	Esti	mated	
Oth	er Objects:									
41	Grants, subsidies, and contributions		\$17,954		\$7,450		\$31,205		\$0	
Tot	al direct obligations		17,954		7,450		31,205		0	

RURAL UTILITIES SERVICE

STATUS OF PROGRAMS

Rural Electrification and Telecommunications Loans Programs:

<u>Current Activities:</u> The Rural Utilities Service (RUS) provides electric and telecommunications financing through direct loans and loan guarantee programs. The rural electric direct loan and loan guarantee programs provide financing for the operation of electric generation, transmission, and distribution facilities. The telecommunications loan program provides direct loans for construction, expansion, and operation of telecommunications facilities.

Specific areas being addressed currently include:

- 1. Financing projects to improve electric service in rural areas. Providing reliable, affordable electricity is essential to the economic well-being and quality of life for all of the Nation's rural residents. The electric programs provide leadership and capital to upgrade, expand, maintain, and replace America's vast rural electric infrastructure.
- 2. Financing projects to improve telecommunication service in rural areas. The program priorities are to ensure that rural communities have access to advanced telecommunications services, such as high-speed Internet services and advanced communications services such as distance learning and telemedicine. Program outreach efforts are focused on identifying rural areas that do not have access to these services, which are essential for economic development and improved health and education services.

<u>Selected Examples of Recent Progress</u>: Recent accomplishments under this appropriation item are cited below by program:

Electric Programs:

The electric programs make direct loans and loan guarantees to finance electric generation, transmission, and distribution facilities, including system improvements and replacements required for electric service in rural areas, and for demand side management, energy efficiency and conservation programs, and on-grid/off-grid renewable energy systems. Loans are made to corporations, states, territories, subdivisions and agencies such as municipalities, utility districts, cooperatives, tribal utilities, nonprofits, limited-dividends, or mutual associations that provide retail electric service needs to rural areas or power supply needs of distribution borrowers in rural areas. The electric programs service 662 active electric borrowers in 46 States, plus the active territories of the Republic of the Marshall Islands and American Samoa.

In 2011, the electric programs approved 123 direct loans and loan guarantees totaling \$4.273 billion. USDA approved 103 FFB distribution loan guarantees totaling over \$1.98 billion, 14 power supply (generation and transmission) FFB loan guarantees totaling about \$2.253 billion, and five direct hardship distribution loans totaling \$39.6 million. In addition, USDA approved one non-profit lender bond and note guarantee of \$499 million for relending for eligible electric and telephone purposes. Rural electric infrastructure loans approved in 2011 provided over \$1.35 billion for new or improved distribution system facilities, over \$653 million for transmission facilities, and over \$1.9 billion for generation plant upgrades and additions, including environmental improvements. In 2011 electric program loans financed over \$150 million in smart grid investments and \$294 million for two renewable energy projects.

This \$4.77 billion in financing provided new or improved electric service to over 7.1 million retail customers in rural America. This USDA investment advances the Administration's grid modernization commitment with funding for over 11,700 miles of new and/or improved transmission and distribution facilities.

In 2011, the electric programs continued the commitment to finance renewable energy projects in support of USDA goals. For example, in 2011 USDA approved a \$90 million loan to the Northern Virginia Electric Cooperative (NOVEC) for a 49 mega-watt wood biomass generation facility in Halifax County, Virginia. Preliminary job estimates include a construction work force of up to 300-400 workers and up to 25-30 full time employees for plant

operation. The larger and longer term impact on the regional labor market will be the hundreds of persons involved in forestry, logging, and the provision of 600 tons of waste wood products and other services to support the operations of this facility. The plant is scheduled to begin commercial operations in late 2013.

USDA gives priority to processing completed loan applications that are requesting funds for renewable energy projects. From 2012 through 2013, the electric programs will continue efforts to support borrower investment in renewable electricity generation and energy efficiency programs.

Telecommunications Program:

The telecommunications program makes direct loans for construction, expansion, and operation of telecommunications lines and facilities or systems. Rural Development continues to fund the development of advanced telecommunications facilities in rural America. The program makes new or improved services available to borrowers' subscribers, including rural residents and businesses. Performance measures directly measure the impact of the programs on rural communities.

In 2011, the telecommunications program approved \$690 million in infrastructure program loans. This funding allowed rural telecommunications providers to deploy new or improved service to 180,597 rural subscribers. For the last two years, 90 percent of the loans financed have been for Fiber-To-The-Home (FTTH) projects to provide fiber-optic service to rural homes and businesses. In North Dakota, Rural Development funds are being used to expand Polar Communications Mutual Aid Corporation's Fiber-To-The-Premises (FTTP) broadband system throughout eighteen exchanges. The upgraded system is helping meet current and future requirements for delivery of voice, video and high speed data to subscribers. Upon completion of this RUS-funded project, all of Polar's subscribers will be served with broadband via various technologies.

Distance Learning, Telemedicine and Broadband Program:

<u>Current Activities:</u> The distance learning, telemedicine and broadband program provides loans and grants for the deployment of equipment utilized in providing distance learning and telemedicine services to rural schools, educational institutions and health care providers. This program also finances advanced telecommunications networks capable of delivering broadband service to rural residents and businesses.

Specific areas being addressed currently include:

- 1. Financing projects to provide rural access to adequate medical and educational services. The program focuses on serving medically underserved areas identified by the Department of Health and Human Services, and on encouraging growth in the number of rural schools which offer distance learning classes to rural residents.
- 2. Financing projects to provide rural access to high-speed internet services. Priority is given to providing financing for rural communities that do not have access to broadband services. These services are essential for the economic and social development of rural communities.

<u>Selected Examples of Recent Progress</u>: Recent accomplishments under this appropriation item are cited below by program:

Distance Learning and Telemedicine Program:

The Distance Learning and Telemedicine Program did not obligate any funds in 2010. Awards for funding appropriated in 2010 and 2011 were obligated in 2011, for a total of \$63.8 million. For example, 2011 funding includes an award to the Maine Sea Coast Missionary Society to buy video equipment for a ship-based medical examining room. In Sac City, Iowa, Loring Hospital is receiving video conferencing equipment to connect the emergency room, outpatient and inpatient centers with local schools and area nursing homes. In Mississippi, a grant is linking the University of South Mississippi with 27 schools to provide a wide range of classes to students.

Broadband Program:

The 2008 Farm Bill required changes to the Rural Broadband Access loan and loan guarantee program, which was authorized under the 2002 Farm Bill. Interim regulations were published in March 2011, and RUS anticipates finalizing regulations in 2012. All applications received in 2009 and 2010 are being revised and resubmitted under the new proposed regulations. Broadband access is crucial to rural communities and the loan program provides funding for infrastructure in communities with populations of 20,000 or less. For example, in Minnesota, broadband loan program funds are being used to extend Paul Bunyan Rural Telephone Cooperative's existing FTTH network to serve rural communities in North Central Minnesota. This project offers advanced telecommunications services to over 45,710 households and businesses. Paul Bunyan has been operating since 1952 and has been a telecommunications borrower with the Rural Utilities Service since 1953.

Water and Environmental Programs:

The water and environmental programs have a leading role in providing rural communities with modern, affordable water and waste disposal (WWD) services. The water program directs technical and financial program resources to rural communities with the greatest need. These communities may be poverty-stricken as a result of out-migration, natural disasters or economic stress. However, rural communities can sustain economic development and improve the quality of life for their residents with dependable water and waste services and infrastructure.

Specific areas being addressed currently include:

- Building leveraging partnerships to expand resources going to rural areas,
- Directing resources to the neediest projects and communities,
- Working with local communities and other borrowers to ensure funds are invested wisely,
- · Focusing on maintaining sustainable water systems in rural communities,
- Improving the loan to grant mix so that more loan dollars are used by systems that can afford maximum debt capacity, and
- · Limiting grant funds to the most financially needy systems.

<u>Selected Examples of Recent Progress</u>: Recent accomplishments under this appropriation item are cited below by program:

In 2011, Rural Development invested \$1.55 billion in direct and guaranteed loans and grants to help rural communities develop water and waste disposal facilities. For example, in 2011 water and environmental programs approved \$1.6 million in grants to replace 1,100 feet of deteriorating sewer lines with trenchless sewer lining technology in Meeteetse, Wyoming. The replacement of the waterlines has decreased the town's concern about the safety of drinking water and alleviated the risk associated with water line breaks.

Our goal to provide service to 1,390,000 borrowers/customers was exceeded for 2011 and the program served 4,468,329 borrowers/customers. Examples of loans and grants approved included:

- \$1.38 billion in WWD direct loans and grants made to develop 516 facilities,
- \$97.9 million assisted 82 projects in disadvantaged communities,
 - o \$44.4 million f or Alaskan natives and villages
 - o \$1.54 million for 3 Alaskan Predevelopment Planning Grants
 - o \$31.7 million for Colonias
 - o \$1.51 million for Hawaiian Homelands
 - o \$16.88 million for Native Americans
 - o \$417,875 for Predevelopment Planning Grants to assist in preparing applications for WEP funds
 - o \$1 million for Individually-Owned Water Well Systems Grants

- o \$496,000 for 26 Water and Wastewater Revolving Fund Grants
- \$1.4 million funded 5 projects in communities qualifying for emergency assistance,
- \$37.6 million funded 57 grants to technical assistance providers,
- 65,091 technical assistance calls completed by Drinking Water and Wastewater Circuit Riders,
- \$31.9 million in WWD guaranteed loans,
- 31 projects administered for partner organizations.

The Rural Development national and state offices regularly monitor the national and individual state performance goals to evaluate program delivery and services to customers. In 2011, the water program met or exceeded goals for two of its four annual measures:

- 1. Referrals to commercial credit—43.10 percent of the dollar amount of loans closed, and
- 2. Number of borrowers' customers receiving new or improved water or waste disposal service—4,468,329.

The loan to grant mix was set at 70/30, but the program ratio actually achieved was 73 percent loans and 27 percent grants. The program is designed to maximize and leverage the budget authority as it also balances the needs and affordability of the systems, and adjusts more grants to accommodate higher needs and affordability. The Rural Development national and state offices continue to coordinate their strategies to strengthen relationships with partner agencies and organizations.

RURAL UTILITIES PROGRAMS

Summary of Budget and Performance Statement of Department Goals and Objectives

Rural Utilities Programs

<u>Mission</u>: USDA Rural Utilities Service (RUS) enhances the quality of life and improves economic opportunity in rural communities by providing the basic infrastructure of modern life. Electricity, telecommunications, and water and waste systems are essential services for individuals and businesses alike that assist communities with creating wealth, supporting self-sustaining and repopulating communities, and thriving economically.

RUS has one strategic goal and two strategic objectives that contribute to one of the Secretary's Strategic Goals and one Agency Priority Goal.

USDA Strategic Goal	Agency Strategic Goal	Agency Objectives	Programs that Contribute	Key Outcome
USDA will assist rural communities to create prosperity so they are self sustaining, repopulating and economically thriving.	Improve the quality of life in Rural America	1: Enhance the ability of rural businesses to succeed by providing access to modern telecommunications	Telecommunications Program Direct Telecommunications Loans Treasury Telecommunications Loans FFB Telecommunications Loans Distance Learning and Telemedicine Grants Broadband Loans and Grants	1: Provide access to modern telecommunicati ons
		2: Develop community infrastructure	Electric Program Direct (Hardship) Electric Loans Direct Electric Loans Water and Environmental Programs Direct Water and Waste Disposal Loans Guaranteed Water and Waste Disposal Loans Water and Waste Disposal Grants Solid Waste Management Grants Emergency & Imminent Community Water Assistance Grants	2: Modernize and improve community infrastructure

Key Outcome 1: Provide access to modern telecommunications

<u>Key Performance Measure</u>: To meet annual target for the number of telecommunication programs' borrowers' subscribers receiving new or improved service.

<u>The Telecommunications Program</u>: In order to meet the goal of increasing economic opportunity in rural America, RUS annually finances new construction and upgrades to telecommunications infrastructure. Access to high-speed Internet services and other quality telecommunications services increases educational opportunities, improved availability of health care, job creation, retention and growth of businesses and other economic growth and is crucial to the development of regional economies. The telecommunications program has developed specific annual short-

term performance measures which demonstrate progress towards the creation of strong rural broadband-based economies. The program tracks the number of borrowers' subscribers that have received new or improved service and determines the number of jobs created or saved by broadband-related enterprises. The program improves processes and meets challenges by maintaining detailed records of annual lending activity and applying the information collected towards expansion of services.

The Distance Learning and Broadband Program: The distance learning and telemedicine program provides loans and grants for distance learning and telemedicine initiatives in rural areas. Utilizing advanced telecommunications services, these programs provide the administration with a powerful tool in building strong rural economies and increasing educational and health care services in rural communities across the U.S. The distance learning and telemedicine program provides financing for videoconferencing and other telecommunications systems and equipment to enable rural communities, schools and businesses, allowing them to provide distance education classes, remote job training, remote medical services, telemedicine, and other services that improve rural residents' access to educational and medical services. Matching funds from loan and grant participants provide leveraging of the Federal funding with private financial resources.

Long-term Performance Measures:

Measure: Percentage of rural households with broadband access.¹

Year	Target
2013	92%
2014	93%
2015	94%
2016	95%

Measure: Percentage of borrowers' subscribers receiving new or improved service.

Year	Target
2013	5.70%
2014	5.70%
2015	5.70%
2016	5.70%

^{1.} This measure was created and estimated before the development of the NTIA's National Broadband Map. These forecasts were based on FCC data which was not as complete or accurate as the Map is. The telecommunications program staff is analyzing the data in the National Broadband Map and other available data to determine a more accurate measure of current broadband access in rural households and to develop more refined long-term measures.

Selected Past Accomplishments toward Achievement of the Key Outcome:

Since 2001, RUS has provided more than \$12 billion in financing for improving telecommunications in rural America. All telecommunications facilities financed by RUS must be broadband capable. The development of the Internet-based economy provides unique opportunities for rural America. Broadband infrastructure greatly helps to mitigate the limitations on business development in rural areas caused by geographical distance and a limited customer base. RUS has provided capital to finance access to broadband service for rural communities. This access is critical to enable rural businesses to participate in the developing global economy.

Selected Accomplishments Expected at the 2013 Proposed Resource Level:

• 187,000 borrowers' subscribers will receive new or improved telecommunication services.

Efficiency Measures:

- Total salaries and expenses (\$) / (Average loan portfolio balance outstanding (\$) + Total average grants (\$))
- Total number of FTE used / (Average loan portfolio balance outstanding (\$) + Total average grants (\$))
- Total subsidy budget authority / (Average loan portfolio balance outstanding (\$) + Total average grants (\$))

Key Outcome 2: Develop community infrastructure.

<u>Key Performance Measure</u>: To meet annual targets for 1) number of electric programs' borrowers' consumers receiving new or upgraded electric service; and 2) number of water programs' borrowers' subscribers (or customers) receiving new or improved water and/or improved waste disposal service.

The electric program makes loans and loan guarantees to provide and improve electric service in rural areas by financing electric generation, transmission, and distribution facilities including generation renewable energy sources and the implementation of energy efficiency and conservation measures. The program's goal of improving the quality of life of rural residents is accomplished by promoting and providing access to capital and credit for the development and delivery of modern, affordable and reliable electric utility services. The program tracks the number of borrowers' consumers that receive new or improved electric services and estimates the number of jobs created or saved by electric and renewable energy related investments.

Long-term Performance Measures:

Measure: Number of electric programs' borrowers' consumers receiving new or upgraded electric service by meeting annual target.

Year	Target
2013	Continued increase
2014	Continued increase
2015	Continued increase
2016	Continued increase

Selected Past Accomplishments toward Achievement of the Key Outcome:

Since 2009, the electric loan programs have approved over \$18.47 billion in financing for rural electric systems. In 2011, the program approved 123 loans totaling over \$4.77 billion. The funds were used by rural utilities to construct new or improved electric distribution, transmission and generation facilities to provide electric service in rural areas, supporting economic development and modernizing community infrastructure. The investment of the electric loan funds creates and maintains jobs in rural communities. Interest in financing renewable energy and energy efficiency programs through the electric programs is growing. In 2011, the program approved over \$294 million in loan guarantees for new renewable electric generation projects. The electric programs have adopted a progressive management approach by enhancing use of automated systems to support loan and grant management and innovating new products and procedures to support renewable energy initiatives. The program continues its commitment to, maintaining a workplace that values employees, and provides high-quality service to its customers.

Selected Accomplishments Expected at the 2013 Proposed Resource Level:

• 5,625,000 rural electric customers receiving new or upgraded service.

<u>Water and Environmental Programs (WEP)</u> provides loans, grants and loan guarantees for drinking water, sanitary sewer, solid waste and storm drainage facilities in rural areas and cities and towns of 10,000 or less. Public bodies, non-profit organizations and recognized Indian tribes may qualify for assistance. WEP also makes grants to nonprofit organizations to provide technical assistance and training to assist rural communities with their water, wastewater, and solid waste problems.

The water and environmental program has been addressing several areas to target resources to rural areas:

- Building leveraging partnerships to expand resources going to rural areas. Working groups have included regional planning commissions, regional councils of developments, and other State agencies to explore funding opportunities for projects in rural communities and areas;
- Directing resources to the neediest projects and communities;
- Working with local communities and other borrowers to ensure funds are invested wisely;
- Focusing on maintaining sustainable water systems in rural communities;

- Improving the loan to grant mix so that more loan dollars are used by systems that can afford maximum debt capacity; and
- Limiting grant funds to the most financially needy systems.

Long-term Performance Measures (WEP Program):

Measure: Percentage of the rural population that will be served by water facility projects that correct or eliminate water-related health and safety hazards such as waterborne illnesses, carcinogens, and potential security risks. The goal over a five-year period from 2011 to 2015 is to average 5 percent annually.

Year	Target
2013	33%
2014	38%
2015	43%
2016	48%

Measure: Percentage of all water programs' borrowers that will maintain sustainable water and wastewater systems in their rural communities.

Year	Target
2013	90%
2014	90%
2015	90%
2016	90%

Efficiency Measures:

- Total salaries and expenses (\$) / (Average loan portfolio balance outstanding (\$) + Total average grants (\$))
- Total number of FTE used / (Average loan portfolio balance outstanding (\$) + Total average grants (\$))
- Total subsidy budget authority / (Average loan portfolio balance outstanding (\$) + Total average grants (\$))
- Loan/Grant Ratio: An efficiency measure used to improve the loan-to-grant mix so that more loan dollars are used by systems that can afford maximum debt capacity and grant funds will be used by needy systems.

Selected Past Accomplishments toward Achievement of the Key Outcome:

In 2011 the program obligated \$1.5 billion in program level. Rural Development committed to target funding to smaller, more economically-challenged communities, investing \$304 million for 150 projects in persistent poverty counties in 25 States. Through the 2011 funding, RD delivered new and improved services to 4,468,329 rural residents.

Funding activities included:

- \$1.3 billion in WWD direct loans and grants were made to develop 695 projects.
- \$98 million assisted 80 projects in disadvantaged communities.
- \$1.3 million funded 5 projects in communities qualifying for emergency assistance.
- \$37.6 million funded 57 grants to technical assistance providers.
- 105,000 technical assistance calls were completed by Drinking Water and Wastewater Circuit Riders.
- \$31.9 million in guaranteed loans were made to fund 7 projects.
- 30 projects were administered for partner organizations.
- \$1 million in Individually-Owned Water Well Systems Grants was awarded to non-profit organizations.
- \$496,000 in Water and Wastewater Revolving Fund Grants was awarded.
- \$1.9 million for 29 Predevelopment Planning Grants was awarded to assist in preparing applications for WEP funds.
- 20 percent of funding helped projects located in persistent poverty counties.

Overall obligations for the water and waste loan and grant program for 2011 were 73 percent loan and 27 percent grant for regular funding. The 2013 obligations are expected to meet the goal of 70 percent loan and 30 percent grant (the annual efficiency measure goal for 2013).

As a result of Rural Development's investment in water and waste facilities, communities are able to save or create jobs; leverage funds with the private sector, local agencies, and State agencies; attract Federal funds from other agencies; and enlarge the economic and property tax base. Through September 2011, USDA had leveraged \$571.52 million from other sources with over \$1.5 billion of water program loan and grant funds.

The debt service ratio (DSR) and current ratio (CR) are used to indicate the feasibility and sustainability of facilities. The sustainability criteria are based on industry standards of a DSR of 1.10 percent or more and a CR of 1.50 percent or more. Of systems reporting, 97 percent were classified as sustainable.

Selected Accomplishments Expected at the 2013 Proposed Resource Level:

- Provide new or improved water and/or waste disposal service to 2.8 million rural residents with \$1.4 billion of program funds.
- Produce a loan to grant ratio of 70/30 so that more dollars are used by systems that can afford maximum debt capacity.
- Limit grant funds to the most financially needy systems.
- Increase leveraged funds to 30 percent of total project costs from non-agency sources for USDA funded projects.

RURAL DEVEL			GRAM		
<u>Strates</u>	gic Goal Fund	ing Matrix			
(De	ollars in thous	sands)			
	2010	2011	2012		2013
Program / Program Items	Actual	Actual	Estimate	Change	Estimate
Department Strategic Goal: Assist rural comrepopulating, and economically thriving	munities to c	reate prosper	ity so they ar	e self-susta	ining,
Direct Telecommunications, Treasury Telecommunication Loans, and FFB Telecommunications	\$690,000	\$689,999	\$690,000	\$0	\$690,000
Staff Years	81	83	76	0	70
Treasury Distance Learning Loans, Distance Learning and Telemedicine Grants	0	64,894	15,000	9,950	24,950
Staff Years	18	18	17	0	17
Con Farm & RD Act, Sec 379G Health Care Services Grant	0	5,994	3,000	-3,000	(
Staff Years	0	0	0	0	
Broadband Telecommunication Loans and Grants, and Public Broadcasting System Grants	78,924	18,277	172,014	-77,875	94,139
Staff Years	71	73	66	0	60
Direct Broadband Telecommunication Loans - Treas Rate - Stimulus, and Broadband Telecommunications Grants - Stimulus	3,529,091	0	0	0	(
Staff Years	0	0	0	0	(
Direct Electric Loans, Treasury Electric Loans, Municipal Electric Loans, FFB Electric Loans, Guaranteed Electric Loans and Electric Underwriting Loans for Bonds and Notes	7,100,000	4,771,857	7,024,286	-924,286	6,100,000
Staff Years	185	189	173	0	173
Direct Water & Waste Disposal Loans, Guranteed Water & Waste Disposal Loans, Water & Disposal Grants, Individually-Owned Water Well System Grants, Grants for Water and Wastewater Revolving Funds, Solid Waste Management Grants, and Emergency & Imminent Community Water Asst. Grants	1,660,645	1,548,466	1,235,582	179,418	1,415,000
Staff Years	551	565	517	0	51′
High Enery Cost Grants	17,954	7,450	9,500	-9,500	(
Staff Years	0	0	0	0	(

	2010	2011	2012		2013
Program / Program Items	Actual	Actual	Estimate	Change	Estimate
Direct Water and Waste Disposal Loan 2008 Disasters Emer. Supp., Water and Waste Disp. Grants May 6, 2007 Emer. Supp., Water and Waste Disp. Grants 2008 Disasters Emergency Supplemental, Water and Waste Disp. Grants 2003/2004 Hurricanes Emer. Supp., and Waste Disp. Grants 2005 Hurricanes Emer. Suppl., Emer. and Imminent Comm. Water Asst. Grants - Emer. Supp., Emer and Imminent Comm. Water Asst. Grants - 2005 Hurricanes	10,406	0	0	0	0
Staff Years	0	0	0	0	0
Direct Water and Waste Disposal Loans - Stimulus, Water and Waste Disposal Grants - Stimulus, Circuit Rider - Tech. Assist. Grants for Rural Water Systems - Stimulus	1,828,627	0	0	0	0
Staff Years	0	0	0	0	0
Total Costs, Strategic Goal	14,915,647	7,106,937	9,149,382	-825,293	8,324,089
Total Staff Years, Strategic Goal	906	928	849	0	849

RURAL UTILITIES PROGRAMS

Summary of Budget and Performance Key Performance Outcomes and Measures

Goal: USDA will assist rural communities to create prosperity so they are self sustaining, repopulating and economically thriving.

Key Outcome: Improve the quality of life in Rural America by Developing Community Infrastructure

Key Performance Measures:

- Measure #1: Number of telecommunication programs' borrowers' subscribers receiving new or improved service.
- Measure #2: Number of electric programs' borrowers' consumers receiving new or upgraded electric service.
- Measure #3: Number of water programs' borrowers' subscribers (or customers) receiving new and/or improved water and/or improved waste disposal service.

Key Performance Targets:

Performance Measure #1	2007 Actual	2008 Actual	2009 Actual	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012	2013
Telecommunica tions (including Broadband)	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Target	Target
a. Number of borrowers' subscribers receiving new or improved telecommunicati on services	356,440	775,341 ⁱ	187,399	136,694	180,597	220,000	187,000
b. Program Dollars (in thousands)	\$642,988	\$1,134,090	\$714,514	\$764,296	\$745,708	\$872,386	\$797,518
ARRA Broadband Loans and Grants							
a. Performance Measure to be determined			0	847,239			
b. Program Dollars (in thousands)			\$0	\$3,529,091			

i - One broadband loan for \$267 million accounted for 447,113 subscribers which was an anomaly in 2008

	2007 Actual	2008 Actual	2009 Actual	2010 Actual	2011 Actual	2012 Target	2013 Target
Distance Learning and Telemedicine a. Number of counties served by entities receiving distance learning and telemedicine funding	392	586	400	0	660	380	380
b. Program Dollars (in thousands)	\$59,400	\$43,242	\$52,554	\$0	\$64,894	\$15,000	\$24,950
Performance Measure #2							
Direct Loans (Hardship, Municipal Rate and Treasury Rate Loans) a. Number of borrowers' consumers receiving new or improved electric service	2,016,239	196,896	99,293	183,796	53,111	125,000	0
b. Program Dollars (in thousands)	\$1,189,764	\$99,300	\$98,477	\$100,000	\$39,610	\$100,000	\$0
a. Number of borrowers' consumers receiving new or improved electric facilities	3,810,212	7,905,741	9,660,124	9,237,036	7,020,317	6,000,000	0
b. Program Dollars (in thousands)	\$2,700,000	\$6,500,000	\$6,500,000	\$6,500,000	\$4,233,247	\$6,500,000	\$0

	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Treasury Rate	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Actual	Target	Target
plus 1/8 percent							
a. Number of borrowers' consumers							5,625,000
receiving new or improved electric facilities							
b. Program Dollars (in thousands)							\$6,100,000
Performance Measure #3							
Water and Environmental Programs							
a. Number of program borrowers' subscribers receiving new improved service from Agency's funded water facilities.	1,332,063	4,361,872	3,388,089	3,961,166	4,468,329	2,418,000	2,769,000
b. Program Dollars (in thousands)	\$1,579,948	\$1,822,949	\$886,872	\$1,671,053	\$1,548,466	\$1,235,582	\$1,415,000
ARRA Water and Environmental Programs							
a. Number of program borrowers' subscribers receiving new or improved service			769,559	949,646			
b. Program Dollars (in thousands)			\$1,581,548	\$1,828,627			

	U	TILITIES PROGE	RAMS		
		t by Department S			
		(Dollars in Thous			
Strategic Go Economically	al: Assist Rural Communities to C rThriving.	reate Prosperity:	so They Are Self-	Sustaining, Repop	oulating and
PROGRAM	PROGRAM ITEMS	2010 ACTUAL	2011 ACTUAL	2012 ESTIMATE	2013 ESTIMATE
Divert Tales	ommunications Loans, Treasury Te		a Lasma and EED	Talaaammumiaati	
	ecommunications Loans, Treasury Te		s Loans, and FFD	rerecommunicati	ioris
Loans, reie	Program Level	\$690,000	\$689,999	\$690,000	\$690,000
	Budget Authority	\$090,000	\$669,999	\$030,000	φυσυ,υυς
	Administrative Costs (Direct)	2,679	6,195	5,885	6,271
	Administrative Costs (Direct) Administrative Costs (Indirect)	6,888	2,915	2,770	2,951
	Total Costs	9,567	9,110	2,770 8,655	9,222
	FTEs	,	9,110	76	,
 		81	83	76	76
	Performance measure:				
	Number of borrowers' subscribers receiving new/improved service				
	Target:	136,694	176,965	120.000	120,000
	Cost per Measure (unit cost)	0.07	0.05	0.07	0.08
Treasury Dis	stance Learning Loans, Distance L			0.07	0.00
11 casa y 21	Program Level	\$0	\$64,894	\$15,000	\$24,950
	Budget Authority	0	64,894	15,000	24,950
	A dministrative Costs (Direct)	595	1,376	1,308	1,393
	A dministrative Costs (Indirect)	1,530	648	615	656
	Total Costs	2,125	66,918	16,923	26,999
	FTEs	18	18	17	17
	Performance measure:	10	10	17	
	Counties served				
	Target:	0	660	380	380
	Cost per Measure (unit cost)	0.00	101.39	44.53	71.05
Con Farm &	RD Act, Sec 379G Health Care Ser		101.55	71.30	7 1.00
odii di ili d	Program Level	0	\$5,994	\$3,000	C
	Budget Authority	0	5,994	3,000	C
	Administrative Costs (Direct)	0	0,554	0,000	C
	Administrative Costs (Indirect)	0	0	0	
	Total Costs			3,000	(
	FTEs	0	3,994	3,000	
	Performance measure:		0	<u>0</u>	
	r errormance measure.				
	Target:	0	0	0	C
	Cost per Measure (unit cost)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				0.00
Broadband T	elecommunication Loans and Gran				M 407 5 1 1
	Program Level	\$78,924	\$55,709	\$182,386	\$107,518
-	Budget Authority	10,006	20,615	19,372	22,294
	A design at reating Costs (Direct)	2,348	5,431	5,159	5,498
	Administrative Costs (Indirect)	6,039	2,556	2,428	2,587
	Total Costs	18,393	28,602	26,959	30,379
	FTEs	71	73	66	66
<u> </u>	Performance measure:				
	Number of borrowers' subscribers				
	receiving new/improved service				
	Target:	0	3,632	100,000	67,000
	Cost per Measure (unit cost)	0.00		0.27	0.00

PROGRAM	PROGRAM ITEMS	2010 ACTUAL	2011 ACTUAL	2012 ESTIMATE	2013 ESTIMATE
Direct Broad	 band Telecommunications Loans -	Treas Rate - Stim	ulus and Broadha	nd Telecommunic	cations Grants -
Stimulus		Treas Naic - Olim	aras, ara bi caase		
	Program Level	\$3,529,091	0	0	
	Budget Authority	2,423,536	0	0	
	Administrative Costs (Direct)	0	0	0	
	Administrative Costs (Indirect)	0	0	0	
	Total Costs	2,423,536	0	0	
	FTEs	0	0	0	
	Performance measure: New/				
	Upgraded Subscribers				
	Target:	847,239	0	0	
	Cost per Measure (unit cost)	0.00	0	0	
	ic Loans, Treasury Electric Loans, ic Underwriting Loans for Bonds a Program Level	\$7,100,000	tric Treasury Plu \$4,771,857	s Loans \$7,024,286	\$6,100,0
	Budget Authority	0	699	594	
	Administrative Costs (Direct)	6,128	14,172	13,463	14,3
	Administrative Costs (Indirect)	15,758	6,669	6,336	6,7
	Total Costs	<i>,</i>	21,540	20,393	21,0
	FTEs	185	189	173	1
	Performance measure:				
	Subscribers served				
	Target: Cost per Measure (unit cost)	9,420,832	7,073,428	6,125,000	5,625,0
	Owned Water Well System Grants, Grants, and Emergency & Immine			Revolving Funds,	Solid Waste
	Program Level	\$1,660,647	\$1,548,466	\$1,235,582	\$1,415,0
	Budget Authority	770,362	601,664	513,000	495,7
	Administrative Costs (Direct)	18,290	42,298	40,183	42,8
	Administrative Costs (Indirect)	47,032	19,905	18,909	20,1
	Total Costs	835,684	663,867	572,092	EEO C
	FTEs		000,007		330,0
		551	565	517	
	Performance measure: # of	551		517	
	Performance measure: # of subscribers receiving new and/or	551		517	558,6i 5
		551		517	
	subscribers receiving new and/or	551		517	
	subscribers receiving new and/or improved water and/or waste disposal service Target:	3,961,166		517 2,418,000	5
	subscribers receiving new and/or improved water and/or waste disposal service Target: Cost per Measure (unit cost)		565		2,769,0
High Energy	subscribers receiving new and/or improved water and/or waste disposal service Target: Cost per Measure (unit cost) Cost Grants	3,961,166	4,468,329 0.15	2,418,000 0.24	2,769,0
High Energy	subscribers receiving new and/or improved water and/or waste disposal service Target: Cost per Measure (unit cost) Cost Grants Program Level	3,961,166 0.21 \$17,954	4,468,329 0.15	2,418,000 0.24	2,769,0
High Energy	subscribers receiving new and/or improved water and/or waste disposal service Target: Cost per Measure (unit cost) Cost Grants Program Level Budget Authority	3,961,166 0.21 \$17,954 17,954	4,468,329 0.15 7,450 7,450	2,418,000 0.24 0	2,769,0
High Energy	subscribers receiving new and/or improved water and/or waste disposal service Target: Cost per Measure (unit cost) Cost Grants Program Level Budget A uthority A dministrative Costs (Direct)	3,961,166 0.21 \$17,954 17,954	4,468,329 0.15 7,450 7,450	2,418,000 0.24 0 0	2,769,0
High Energy	subscribers receiving new and/or improved water and/or waste disposal service Target: Cost per Measure (unit cost) Cost Grants Program Level Budget A uthority A dministrative Costs (Direct) A dministrative Costs (Indirect)	3,961,166 0.21 \$17,954 17,954 0	7,450 7,450 0	2,418,000 0.24 0 0 0	
High Energy	subscribers receiving new and/or improved water and/or waste disposal service Target: Cost per Measure (unit cost) Cost Grants Program Level Budget A uthority A dministrative Costs (Direct) Administrative Costs (Indirect) Total Costs	3,961,166 0.21 \$17,954 17,954 0 0 17,954	7,450 7,450 0 0 7,450	2,418,000 0.24 0 0 0 0	2,769,0
High Energy	subscribers receiving new and/or improved water and/or waste disposal service Target: Cost per Measure (unit cost) Cost Grants Program Level Budget A uthority Administrative Costs (Direct) Administrative Costs (Indirect) Total Costs	3,961,166 0.21 \$17,954 17,954 0	7,450 7,450 0	2,418,000 0.24 0 0 0	2,769,0
High Energy	subscribers receiving new and/or improved water and/or waste disposal service Target: Cost per Measure (unit cost) Cost Grants Program Level Budget Authority Administrative Costs (Direct) Administrative Costs (Indirect) Total Costs PTEs Performance measure: New/	3,961,166 0.21 \$17,954 17,954 0 0 17,954	7,450 7,450 0 0 7,450	2,418,000 0.24 0 0 0 0	2,769,0
High Energy	subscribers receiving new and/or improved water and/or waste disposal service Target: Cost per Measure (unit cost) Cost Grants Program Level Budget A uthority A dministrative Costs (Direct) A dministrative Costs (Indirect) Total Costs FTEs Performance measure: New/ Upgraded Subscribers	3,961,166 0.21 \$17,954 17,954 0 0 17,954	7,450 7,450 0 0 7,450 0 0	2,418,000 0.24 0 0 0 0 0	2,769,0
High Energy	subscribers receiving new and/or improved water and/or waste disposal service Target: Cost per Measure (unit cost) Cost Grants Program Level Budget Authority Administrative Costs (Direct) Administrative Costs (Indirect) Total Costs PTEs Performance measure: New/	3,961,166 0.21 \$17,954 17,954 0 0 17,954	7,450 7,450 0 0 7,450	2,418,000 0.24 0 0 0 0	2,769,0

PROGRAM	PROGRAM ITEMS	2010 ACTUAL	2011 ACTUAL	2012 ESTIMATE	2013 ESTIMATE
Emer. Supp., Grants 2003	r and Waste Disposal Loan 2008 Di Water and Waste Disp. Grants 200 //2004 Hurricanes Emer. Supp., Wa ht Comm. Waster Asst. Grants - Em	08 Disasters Eme ater adn Waste Di	rgency Supplemer sp. Grants 2005 H	ntal, Water and W Iurricanes EMer.	aste Disp. Suppl., Emer.
1011100100	Program Level	\$10,406	0	0	
	Budget Authority	7,054	0	0	
	Administrative Costs (Direct)	0	0	0	
	A dministrative Costs (Indirect)	0	0	0	
	Total Costs	7,054	0	0	
	FTEs	0	0	0	
	Performance measure: New/				
	Upgraded Subscribers				
	Target:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Cost per Measure (unit cost)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tech. Assist	. Grants for Rural Water Systems	- Stimulus		<u> </u>	
	Program Level	\$1,828,627	0	0	
	Budget Authority	650,675	0	0	
	Administrative Costs (Direct)	0	0	0	
	Administrative Costs (Indirect)	0	0	0	
	Total Costs	650,675	0	0	
	FTEs	0	0	0	
	Performance measure: New/				
	Upgraded Subscribers				
	Target:	949,646	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Cost per Measure (unit cost)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Tota	l for Secretary's	Priorities		
	Program Level	\$14,915,649		\$9,150,254	\$8,337,46
	Budget Authority	3,879,587	701,316	550,966	542,94
	Administrative Costs (Direct)	30,040	69,472	65,998	70,32
	Administrative Costs (Indirect)	77,247	32,693	31,058	33,09
	Total Costs		803,481	648,022	646,36
	FTEs	906	928	849	84
	Performance measure:				
	Target:	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Cost per Measure (unit cost)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
* S&E and F	TE figures for each program are est	imates. Actual sta	ff time by progran	nis not available a	t this time.
** FY 11 tar	gets are based on appropriation.				
	ot balace due to rounding.				